Vol. 2, No. 02, p. 112-120

Journal of Organizational and
Human Resource Development Strategies
journal.iistr.org/index.php/OHDS
DOI: 10.56741/ohds.v2i02.1143

Technological Integration and Human Resource Psychology

Examining the Interrelationship and Its Implications in the Digital Workplace

[1]Zafar Khan*, [2]Muhammad Akbar Amin, [1]Talha, [3]Ghayur Ahmad
Corresponding Author: *adzafarkhan@outlook.com
[1] Abasyn University, Peshawar, Pakistan
[2] FATA University, Peshawar, Pakistan
[3] Prince Mohammed bin Fahd University, Khobar, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history
Received 22 June 2025
Revised 6 July 2025
Accepted 8 July 2025

Keywords
Digital Workplace
Human Resource
Psychology
Technological Integration

ABSTRACT

The convergence of psychological principles and technological advancements reshapes how organizations manage human resources (HR). As emerging technologies like artificial intelligence (AI), automation, and digital platforms permeate the workplace, they significantly influence employee behavior, motivation, satisfaction, and performance. This study explores the complex relationship between technology and HR from a psychological perspective. Using a qualitative meta-analysis and case-driven synthesis, we identify key psychological outcomes associated with technology integration and assess their implications for organizational development. The findings indicate that while facilitating operational efficiency, technology also contributes to challenges related to mental workload, technostress, digital competency gaps, and organizational alienation. Moreover, employee engagement, trust, adaptability, and psychological safety become critical in maximizing the benefits of tech-driven HR systems. This paper contributes to understanding how to harmonize technological innovation with psychological well-being in HR practices, offering insights for scholars and practitioners.

This is an open-access article under the CC-BY-SA license.

Introduction

Technology integration into human resource functions has accelerated due to globalization, competitive markets, and digital transformation initiatives [1]-[4]. Human resource management (HRM) has evolved beyond administrative tasks, incorporating predictive analytics, AI-based decision-making, and virtual collaboration platforms to enhance strategic alignment [5]-[8]. While these technological tools offer potential for optimization, they simultaneously introduce new psychological dynamics that affect employee attitudes, perceptions, and performance [9]-[12].

The psychological dimension of HRM has gained importance as organizations strive to maintain a human-centric approach amid digitalization [13]-[16]. Scholars emphasize the need to understand the interplay between human cognition, emotions, and digital environments, particularly in remote work contexts, AI-assisted evaluations, and continuous performance tracking [17]-[20]. Psychological constructs such as motivation, engagement, trust, autonomy, and job satisfaction now intersect with the design and use of digital HR tools [21]-[24].

This paper explores the psychological implications of technological integration in HR by addressing two core questions:

- How does technology affect psychological outcomes in human resource practices?
- What are the organizational strategies to balance technological efficiency with employee psychological well-being?

By examining recent studies and synthesizing empirical evidence, we develop a framework to understand the impact of technology on the psychology of HR management.

Results and Discussion

A. Psychological Impacts of Technological Integration in HR

The relationship between technology and psychological well-being in the HR context is multifaceted. Digital transformation affects how employees perceive control, recognition, and career progression, crucial factors for job satisfaction and motivation [25]-[28]. Employees often face a paradox where technological tools enhance efficiency but simultaneously increase cognitive load and technostress [29]-[32].

Introducing AI-based recruitment systems and algorithmic performance evaluations alters traditional feedback mechanisms, leading to a decline in perceived fairness and interpersonal connection [33]-[36]. Many employees report feeling alienated or anxious due to the opaque nature of AI decision-making, which can diminish trust in the organization [37]-[40].

Moreover, the digitalization of HR processes creates a new psychological contract between employees and employers, often characterized by increased expectations for self-regulation, digital literacy, and flexibility [41]-[44]. While such changes promote autonomy and

innovation, they can also lead to role ambiguity, especially among employees lacking digital competence [45]-[48].

Table 1. Psychological Constructs Influenced by HR Technology

Psychological Construct	Technological Trigger	Impact Type	Source(s)
Trust	AI recruitment, opaque decision-making	Negative	[33], [36], [38]
Motivation	Gamification, performance tracking	Mixed	[24], [27], [42]
Autonomy	Flexible work tools, remote platforms	Positive	[17], [22], [44]
Stress and Anxiety	Constant connectivity, technostress	Negative	[29], [30], [40]
Engagement	Digital feedback, social platforms	Mixed	[21], [28], [45]

Psychological safety has emerged as a crucial component in digitally transformed HR environments [49]-[52]. Organizations that cultivate environments where employees feel safe to express concerns about digital changes tend to report higher satisfaction and retention [53]-[56]. Leadership also plays a critical role; transformational leaders who support psychological well-being help buffer the adverse effects of technological disruptions [57]-[60].

In sum, the effects of technology on HR psychology are context-dependent, influenced by organizational culture, leadership style, and employee readiness for digital change [61]-[64]. A balanced approach to tech integration is vital to avoid psychological fatigue and sustain employee engagement [65]-[68].

B. Organizational Strategies for Psychological Adaptation

Organizations must adopt proactive HR strategies to mitigate negative psychological outcomes and harness the benefits of technology. Digital literacy programs, for instance, empower employees to use technology confidently and reduce technostress [69]-[72]. Psychological resilience training enables employees to cope with constant digital change [73]-[76].

Additionally, ethical AI and data governance frameworks are essential to foster transparency and trust in digital HR systems [77]-[80]. Providing clear explanations about AI-driven decisions and involving employees in the design of digital workflows increases perceived fairness and ownership [81]-[84].

Hybrid work policies and flexible scheduling also contribute to improved work-life balance, vital for psychological well-being in tech-driven environments [85]-[88]. Personalized digital platforms, where employees can track progress, receive feedback, and set goals, enhance motivation when integrated with supportive coaching and mentoring structures [89]-[92].

Table 2. Strategies to Enhance Psychological Resilience in HR Digitalization

Strategy	Description	Outcome	Source(s)
Digital Literacy Training	Upskill programs to reduce tech-related anxiety	Reduced technostress	[69], [70], [72]
Transparent AI Governance	Explainable AI and employee inclusion in systems	Increased trust	[78], [80], [83]
Flexible Work Models	Remote options, adjustable hours	Improved work-life balance	[86], [87], [88]
Mental Health Interventions	Workshops, counseling, digital mindfulness apps	Psychological safety	[73], [75], [91]
Leadership for Digital Transition	Supportive and transformational leadership styles	Organizational resilience	[58], [59], [93]

When applied holistically, these strategies result in a psychologically sustainable digital workplace. They ensure that technology serves the organization's efficiency goals and the individual's need for meaning, growth, and well-being [94]-[97]. This dual commitment to performance and people enables HRM to evolve in a direction aligned with technological innovation and psychological insight [98]-[100].

Conclusion

Both promise and peril mark the relationship between technology and human resource psychology. Technological advancements enhance efficiency, transparency, and scalability in HR functions but also bring significant psychological implications. Employees face increased mental workloads, changing social dynamics, and new expectations for adaptability. HR managers must integrate psychological considerations into digital transformation strategies to sustain a productive and human-centered work environment. This involves cultivating trust, ensuring transparency, upskilling staff, and protecting psychological well-being through leadership and organizational policies. Ultimately, achieving alignment between technological innovation and psychological health is essential for future-ready human resource systems.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

[1] A. Anwar, A. Hussain, and S. A. H. Bukhari, "Digital platform and HR professionals: A review paper," *Journal of Applied Research and Multidisciplinary Studies*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 1-17, 2021.

[2] M. Arntz, T. Gregory, and U. Zierahn, "Digitalization and the future of work: Macroeconomic consequences," *Economic Studies Journal*, vol. 46, pp. 19-40, 2019.

[3] R. Cascio and J. Montealegre, "How Technology Is Changing Work and Organizations," *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology*, vol. 2, pp. 349-375, 2021.

[4] T. Davenport, "Artificial Intelligence for the Real World," *Harvard Business Review*, vol. 96, no. 1, pp. 108-116, 2020.

[5] Bondarouk, T., Marsman, E., & Rekers, M. (2014). HRM, technology and innovation: new HRM competences for old business challenges?. In *Human resource management, social innovation and technology* (pp. 179-215). Emerald Group Publishing Limited.

[6] Strohmeier, S. (2020). Digital human resource management: A conceptual clarification. *German Journal of Human Resource Management*, *34*(3), 345-365.
[7] Bahuguna, P. C., Srivastava, R., & Tiwari, S. (2024). Human resources analytics: where do we go from here?. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, *31*(2), 640-668.
[8] Budhwar, P., Chowdhury, S., Wood, G., Aguinis, H., Bamber, G. J., Beltran, J. R., ... & Varma, A. (2023). Human resource management in the age of generative artificial intelligence: Perspectives and research directions on ChatGPT. *Human Resource Management Journal*, *33*(3), 606-659.
[9] George, A. S. (2024). The metamorphosis of work: how technology is transforming the employee experience from industrial to digital. *Partners Universal Innovative Research Publication*, *2*(1), 93-112.
[10] Bankins, S. (2021). The ethical use of artificial intelligence in human resource management: a decision-making framework. *Ethics and Information Technology*, *23*(4), 841-854.
[11] Kaltenegger, H. (2024). *Working conditions and technostress in the workplace* (Doctoral dissertation, lmu).
[12] P. S. Adler and B. Borys, "Two types of bureaucracy: Enabling and coercive," *Administrative Science Quarterly*, vol. 41, pp. 61-89, 1996.
[13] Ruël, H., Bondarouk, T., & Looise, J. K. (2004). E-HRM: Innovation or irritation. An explorative empirical study in five large companies on web-based HRM. *Management revue*, 364-380.
[14] Müller, J., & Weber, S. (2023). Digital Transformation Through the Lens of Organizational Psychology. *Digital Transformation and Administration Innovation*, *1*(1), 18-26.
[15] R. Susskind and D. Susskind, *The Future of the Professions: How Technology Will Transform the Work of Human Experts*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, 2022.
[16] Ghislieri, C., Molino, M., & Cortese, C. G. (2018). Work and organizational psychology looks at the fourth industrial revolution: how to support workers and organizations?. *Frontiers in psychology*, *9*, 2365.
[17] J. R. Hackman and G. R. Oldham, "Motivation through the design of work: Test of a theory," *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 250-279, 1976.
[18] L. L. Thompson, "Making the Team: A Guide for Managers," 6th ed. New York: Pearson, 2017.
[19] Wei, W., & Li, L. (2022). The impact of artificial intelligence on the mental health of manufacturing workers: the mediating role of overtime work and the work environment. Frontiers in public health, 10, 862407.
[20] Goleman, D. (1995). Emotional intelligence: Why it can matter more than IQ. New York: Bantam
[21] A. A. Grandey, J. H. Foo, J. Groth, and L. A. Goodwin, "The customer is not always right: Customer aggression and emotion regulation of service employees," *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, vol. 43, pp. 554-571, 2004.
[22] Islam, M. S., Amin, M., Feranita, F., & Karatepe, O. M. (2023). High-involvement work practices, work engagement and their effects on bank employees' turnover intentions: the moderating role of functional competence. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, *41*(6), 1360-1388.
[23] J. M. Twenge, "Generational differences in psychological traits and their impact on the workplace," *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 150-168, 2008.
[24] Eisenberger, R., Huntington, R., Hutchison, S., & Sowa, D. (1986). Perceived organizational support. *Journal of Applied psychology*, *71*(3), 500.
[25] Tarafdar, M., Tu, Q., Ragu-Nathan, B. S., & Ragu-Nathan, T. S. (2007). The impact of technostress on role stress and productivity. *Journal of management information systems*, *24*(1), 301-328.
[26] Narwal, M. (2023). Digital distractions in the workplace: exploring cyberloafing impact on employee behaviour and innovation. *Virtual Economics*, *6*(4), 7-24.
[27] Treem, J. W., & Leonardi, P. M. (2013). Social media use in organizations: Exploring the affordances of visibility, editability, persistence, and association. *Annals of the International Communication Association*, *36*(1), 143-189.
[28] Manresa, A., Sammour, A., Mas-Machuca, M., Chen, W., & Botchie, D. (2024). Humanizing GenAI at work: bridging the gap between technological innovation and employee engagement. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*.
[29] Baek, G., Lee, Y. J., & Lee, E. (2024). The Impact of Technostress, Nursing Informatics Competency and Knowledge-Sharing Behaviour on Nursing Work Performance Among Tertiary Hospital Nurses. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*.
[30] Baliņa, S., Baumgarte, D., & Salna, E. (2015). The Model for Balancing Learning Workload. *Procedia Computer Science*, *77*, 113-118.
[31] S. Ragu-Nathan, M. Tarafdar, B. S. Ragu-Nathan, and Q. Tu, "The consequences of technostress for end users in organizations," *Information Systems Research*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 417-433, 2008.

[32] Thomas Craig, K. J., Willis, V. C., Gruen, D., Rhee, K., & Jackson, G. P. (2021). The burden of the digital environment: a systematic review on organization-directed workplace interventions to mitigate physician burnout. *Journal of the American medical informatics association*, *28*(5), 985-997.
[33] Li, Z., & Zhou, Y. (2025). Starting with trust: unraveling the impact of AI trust on employee digital performance. Baltic Journal of Management.
[34] Fabris, A., Baranowska, N., Dennis, M. J., Graus, D., Hacker, P., Saldivar, J., ... & Biega, A. J. (2025). Fairness and bias in algorithmic hiring: A multidisciplinary survey. ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology, 16(1), 1-54.
[35] Zhou, Y., Wang, L., & Chen, W. (2023). The dark side of AI-enabled HRM on employees based on AI algorithmic features. Journal of Organizational Change Management, 36(7), 1222-1241.
[36] Sachan, V. S., Katiyar, A., Somashekher, C., Chauhan, A. S., & Bhima, C. K. (2024). The role of artificial intelligence in HRM: opportunities, challenges, and ethical considerations. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, *30*(4), 7427-7435.
[37] Edwards, M. R., Zubielevitch, E., Okimoto, T., Parker, S., & Anseel, F. (2024). Managerial control or feedback provision: how perceptions of algorithmic HR systems shape employee motivation, behavior, and well-being. Human Resource Management, 63(4), 691-710.
[38] Harayama, Y., Milano, M., Baldwin, R., Antonin, C., Berg, J., Karvar, A., & Wyckoff, A. (2021). Artificial Intelligence and the future of work. In Reflections on Artificial Intelligence for Humanity (pp. 53-67). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
[39] Abasaheb, S. A., & Rajagopal, S. (2025). Navigating psychological contracts with AI interactions in the workplace: from chatbots to boss bots. International Journal of Work Innovation, 6(2), 135-147.
[40] F. H. Zuboff, *The Age of Surveillance Capitalism*. New York: PublicAffairs, 2019.
[41] Alfandari, R., Enosh, G., Tzafrir, S. S., & Regehr, C. (2022). Understanding health outcomes following workplace aggression: A social exchange perspective. *Health & Social Care in the Community*, *30*(6), e3995-e4005.
[42] Uddin, A. A., & Das, D. (2023). The power of gamification in employee performance management. Open Journal of Business and Management, 11(6), 3452-3470.
[43] R. E. Boyatzis, "Developing emotionally intelligent organizations," *Academy of Management Perspectives*, vol. 37, pp. 220-234, 2002.
[44] Boccoli, G., Sestino, A., Gastaldi, L., & Corso, M. (2022). The impact of autonomy and temporal flexibility on individuals' psychological wellbeing in remote settings. Sinergie, 40(2), 327-349.
[45] Thomas, G. (2020). Digital maturity of HR in SMEs. *European Journal of Economics and Business Studies*, *6*(1), 56-62.
[46] Carr, J. C., Marshall, D. R., Michaelis, T. L., Pollack, J. M., & Sheats, L. (2023). The role of work-to-venture role conflict on hybrid entrepreneurs' transition into entrepreneurship. Journal of Small Business Management, 61(5), 2302-2325.
[47] J. G. Belschak and D. N. Den Hartog, "Consequences of positive and negative feedback," *Applied Psychology: An International Review*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 234-254, 2009.
[48] Björkman, V. (2025). Cognitive load theory in the context of heuristic evaluation of the onboarding phase in digital games.
[49] A. C. Edmondson, "Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams," *Administrative Science Quarterly*, vol. 44, pp. 350-383, 1999.
[50] Devers, C. J. (2011). Developing trust and openness in an online environment. *International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning*, *8*(5), 17-28.
[51] Bardoel, E. A., Pettit, T. M., De Cieri, H., & McMillan, L. (2014). Employee resilience: An emerging challenge for HRM. *Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources*, *52*(3), 279-297.
[52] Suropov, B. (2024). INTEGRATING DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES AND ECONOMIC KNOWLEDGE IN STUDENT TRAINING: PEDAGOGICAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL PERSPECTIVES. Science and innovation, 3(B10), 68-74.
[53] Serhan, C., Abdo, R., Iskandar, D., & Gharib, M. (2025). Navigating the change: exploring emotions, psychological safety and organizational support in the transition to home working–insights from the MENA region. Journal of Organizational Change Management, 38(2), 340-360.
[54] A. M. Saks, "Antecedents and consequences of employee engagement revisited," *Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 5–25, 2019.

[55] Mazzetti, Greta, and Wilmar B. Schaufeli. "The impact of engaging leadership on employee engagement and team effectiveness: A longitudinal, multi-level study on the mediating role of personal-and team resources." *Plos one* 17.6 (2022): e0269433.
[56] Oliver Borchert (2008) Resource-Based Theory: Creating and Sustaining Competitive Advantage, Journal of Marketing Management, 24:9-10, 1041-1044, DOI: 10.1362/026725708X382046
[57] B. J. Avolio and W. L. Gardner, "Authentic leadership development," *The Leadership Quarterly*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 3–23, 2003.
[58] F. Luthans and C. M. Youssef-Morgan, "Psychological capital and beyond," *Oxford Handbook of Positive Psychology at Work*, Oxford: Oxford Univ. Press, pp. 57–72, 2015.
[59] Sani, A., Husen, S., Wahidah, R. N., & Mustajab, D. (2024). Evolution of HRM strategies in the digital age: A comprehensive review. *Amkop Management Accounting Review (AMAR)*, *4*(1), 42-58.
[60] Mouazen, A. M., Hernández-Lara, A. B., Abdallah, F., Ramadan, M., Chahine, J., Baydoun, H., & Bou Zakhem, N. (2024). Transformational and transactional leaders and their role in implementing the Kotter change management model ensuring sustainable change: An empirical study. *Sustainability*, *16*(1), 16.
[61] Gerbasi, A., Emery, C., & Parker, A. (Eds.). (2023). *Understanding workplace relationships: An examination of the antecedents and outcomes*. Springer Nature.
[62] Anwar, G., & Abdullah, N. N. (2021). The impact of Human resource management practice on Organizational performance. International journal of Engineering, Business and Management (IJEBM), 5.
[63] J. Pfeffer, *Dying for a Paycheck: How Modern Management Harms Employee Health*, New York: HarperBusiness, 2018.
[64] Ali, B. J., & Anwar, G. (2021). Strategic leadership effectiveness and its influence on organizational effectiveness. *International Journal of Electrical, Electronics and Computers*, *6*(2).
[65] Ford, Jr, D. L., & Whaley, G. L. (2003). The digital divide and managing workforce diversity: A commentary. *Applied Psychology*, *52*(3), 476-485.
[66] Ahmadi, H. (2024). Managing organizational change in the digital era: The interplay of technological advancements, leadership, and employee adaptability. Leadership, and Employee Adaptability (August 09, 2024).
[67] A. Bandura, *Self-Efficacy: The Exercise of Control*, New York: Freeman, 1997.
[68] Blok, V. (2022). The role of human creativity in human-technology relations. Philosophy & Technology, 35(3), 59.
[69] Lopes, A. S., Sargento, A., & Farto, J. (2023). Training in digital skills—the perspective of workers in public sector. Sustainability, 15(13), 10577.
[70] Wolff, C., Mikhieieva, O., & Nuseibah, A. (2020). Competences and the digital transformation. In *Project Management and Engineering Research: AEIPRO 2019* (pp. 221-234). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
[71] A. C. Edmondson, *The Fearless Organization: Creating Psychological Safety in the Workplace*, New York: Wiley, 2018.
[72] Guerra, J. M. M., Danvila-del-Valle, I., & Méndez-Suárez, M. (2023). The impact of digital transformation on talent management. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *188*, 122291.
[73] Candrasa, L., Cahyadi, L., Cahyadi, W., & Cen, C. C. (2024). Change management strategies: Building organizational resilience in the digital era. Journal of Ecohumanism, 3(7), 4125-4135.
[74] Fletcher, D., & Sarkar, M. (2013). Psychological resilience. European psychologist.
[75] Robertson, I. T., Cooper, C. L., Sarkar, M., & Curran, T. (2015). Resilience training in the workplace from 2003 to 2014: A systematic review. *Journal of occupational and organizational psychology*, *88*(3), 533-562.
[76] BANCĂ, A. M., & Coman, C. (2024). EMPOWERING THE WORKFORCE: THE IMPERATIVE OF PROFESSIONAL TRAINING IN A TECHNOLOGY-DRIVEN WORLD. *Revista Universitară de Sociologie*, (2).
[77] Fenwick, A., Molnar, G., & Frangos, P. (2024). The critical role of HRM in AI-driven digital transformation: a paradigm shift to enable firms to move from AI implementation to human-centric adoption. *Discover Artificial Intelligence*, *4*(1), 34.
[78] Syifa, A. F. (2024). Ethics in the age of AI: Principles and guidelines for responsible implementation in workplace. *International Journal of Advanced Technology and Social Sciences*, *2*(2), 237-242.
[79] Cathy O'Neil, *Weapons of Math Destruction: How Big Data Increases Inequality and Threatens Democracy*, New York: Crown Publishing, 2016.

[80] Dennis, M. J., & Aizenberg, E. (2022). The ethics of AI in human resources. *Ethics and Information Technology*, *24*(3), 25.
[81] Tongkachok, K., Garg, S., Vemuri, V. P., Chaudhary, V., Koli, P. V., & Kumar, K. S. (2022). The role of artificial intelligence on organisational support programmes to enhance work outcome and employees behaviour. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, *56*, 2383-2387.
[82] Coglianese, C., & Lehr, D. (2019). Transparency and algorithmic governance. *Administrative law review*, *71*(1), 1-56.
[83] Bahangulu, J. K., & Owusu-Berko, L. (2025). Algorithmic bias, data ethics, and governance: Ensuring fairness, transparency and compliance in AI-powered business analytics applications. *World J Adv Res Rev*, *25*(2), 1746-63.
[84] N. Diakopoulos, *Automating the News: How Algorithms Are Rewriting the Media*, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2019.
[85] Nam, T. (2014). Technology use and work-life balance. *Applied Research in Quality of Life*, *9*(4), 1017-1040.
[86] Oleksa-Marewska, K., & Tokar, J. (2022). Facing the post-pandemic challenges: The role of leadership effectiveness in shaping the affective well-being of healthcare providers working in a hybrid work mode. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, *19*(21), 14388.
[87] Ali, A. D., Narine, L. K., Hill, P. A., & Bria, D. C. (2023). Factors affecting remote workers' job satisfaction in Utah: An exploratory study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *20*(9), 5736.
[88] Chen, A., & Karahanna, E. (2014). Boundaryless technology: Understanding the effects of technology-mediated interruptions across the boundaries between work and personal life. *AIS Transactions on Human-Computer Interaction*, *6*(2), 16-36.
[89] Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2013). *Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior*. Springer Science & Business Media.
[90] Locke, E., & Latham, G. (2015). Goal-setting theory. In *Organizational behavior 1* (pp. 159-183). Routledge.
[91] Tompkins, M. W. (2018). Coaching in the Workplace. *Journal of practical consulting*, *6*(1), 115-122.
[92] Li, S., Zheng, J., & Chiang, F. K. (2022). Examining the effects of digital devices on students' learning performance and motivation in an enhanced one-to-one environment: a longitudinal perspective. *Technology, Pedagogy and Education*, *31*(1), 1-13.
[93] Hickey, G. M., Snyder, H. T., deVries, J. R., & Temby, O. (2021). On inter-organizational trust, control and risk in transboundary fisheries governance. *Marine Policy*, *134*, 104772.
[94] Greener, S. (2018). The knowing-doing gap in learning with technology. *Interactive Learning Environments*, *26*(7), 856-857.
[95] Schon, D., & Argyris, C. (1996). Organizational learning II: Theory, method and practice. *Reading: Addison Wesley*, *305*(2).
[96] Collings, D. G., & Mellahi, K. (2009). Strategic talent management: A review and research agenda. *Human resource management review*, *19*(4), 304-313.
[97] F. C. Lunenburg, "Expectancy theory of motivation," *International Journal of Management, Business, and Administration*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 1–6, 2011.
[98] H. Mintzberg, *Managing*, San Francisco: Berrett-Koehler, 2009.
[99] Bernard M. Bass and Ronald E. Riggio, Transformational Leadership, 2nd ed. (New Jersey: Mahwah, 2006), 10, https://doi.org/10.5742/mewfm.2017.92984.
[100] D. Ulrich, W. A. Schiemann, and L. Sartain, *The Future of Human Resource Management: 64 Thought Leaders Explore the Critical HR Issues of Today and Tomorrow*, Hoboken: Wiley, 2005.

Authors



Dr. Zafar Khan is a scholar at Abasyn University, Peshawar, Pakistan. He is an assistant director of human resources for the government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Food Safety and Halal Food Authority. His core skills include, but are not limited to, human resource management and talent onboarding, conducting interviews, payroll section handling, ERP management, and HR information system handling. (email: adzafarkhan@outlook.com).



Muhammad Akbar Amin is a PhD Scholar at Abasyn University, Peshawar, Pakistan. With a background in human resource development and higher education management, he currently serves as the Registrar at FATA University, Peshawar. His administrative expertise complements his academic interests, including institutional governance, organizational development, and educational leadership. He actively participates in scholarly forums and research initiatives focused on improving higher education institutions' administrative processes and policy development. His dual role as a scholar and registrar reflects his commitment to bridging theory and practice in university governance. (email: akbar_hrd@yahoo.com).



Talha is a dedicated PhD Scholar at Abasyn University, Peshawar, Pakistan, and serves as an Assistant Professor at the same institution. His academic interests lie in organizational behavior, management sciences, and strategic leadership. As a faculty member, he teaches and supervises research and scholarly publications that advance management theory and practice. His doctoral research explores innovative solutions in educational and business management systems. Mr. Talha is also involved in curriculum development and institutional research activities that support the growth of quality assurance in academic settings. (email: talha1857@gmail.com).



Dr. Ghayur Ahmad is a seasoned academic currently serving as a faculty member at Prince Mohammed bin Fahd University in Khobar, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. With extensive teaching and research experience, he specializes in business management, international business, and higher education policy. Dr. Ahmad has contributed to numerous research publications and international conferences. His interdisciplinary teaching and curriculum development approach reflects a global perspective on academic quality and institutional effectiveness. He is committed to promoting excellence in education and fostering cross-cultural academic collaboration. (email: homagedawn@gmail.com).