



Strengthening Educational Research Capacity Through Community-Based Capacity Building

Evidence from a Research Mastery Program at STKIP Tamansiswa Bima

¹Ibnu Khaldun*, ²Dwi Sulisworo*, ¹Rizalul Fiqry, ²Ika Maryani

Affiliations:

¹ STKIP Tamansiswa Bima, Bima, Indonesia

² Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

*Correspondence: dwi.sulisworo@uad.ac.id.

ABSTRACT

Research capacity is a critical component of educational quality improvement, particularly in developing regions where teachers, school leaders, and higher education practitioners often face limited opportunities for structured research training. This community service program aimed to strengthen the research capacity of teachers, principals, lecturers, and graduate students in the Pedagogy Master's Program at STKIP Tamansiswa Bima through a structured capacity-building intervention titled Modern Research Mastery. The program employed workshops, mentoring, and guided research practice to enhance participants' competencies in research design, academic writing, and publication. This study reports the implementation and outcomes of the program using a qualitative descriptive approach supported by program evaluation data. The conceptual framework integrated capacity-building theory, adult learning principles, and research competency development models. The results indicate significant improvements in participants' research knowledge, skills, and productivity. Participants demonstrated enhanced understanding of research methodology, increased motivation for conducting research, and improved ability to develop research proposals and scholarly manuscripts. Institutional benefits included strengthened research culture and collaborative networks. The findings suggest that structured capacity-building programs with mentoring components are effective strategies for improving educational research competencies in developing educational institutions. The study contributes to the literature on community service-based professional development and provides practical implications for scaling research capacity-building initiatives in similar contexts.

Keywords

Academic Writing
Community Service
Educational Research
Research Capacity Building
Research Competency
Teacher Professional Development

JSCD

Journal of Social and Community Development

VOL. 3, No. 02, pp. 134-141

Article history

Received 30 May 2026

Revised 9 August 2026

Accepted 15 August 2026

Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Licensee IISTR, Yogyakarta, Indonesia. This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International ([CC BY-SA](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/)) license.

journal.iistr.org/index.php/JSCD
DOI: 10.56741/IISTR.jscd.002337



Introduction

Research capacity development is widely recognized as an essential factor in improving educational quality, institutional effectiveness, and professional competence among educators and academic practitioners [1], [2]. Educational research enables educators to systematically examine teaching practices, evaluate interventions, and contribute to knowledge advancement, thereby strengthening evidence-based educational decision-making [3], [4]. In developing educational contexts, however, many educators face significant barriers to conducting research, including limited methodological knowledge, lack of mentoring, insufficient institutional support, and minimal exposure to academic publication practices [5], [6].

Teachers, school leaders, and lecturers play a strategic role in generating contextual knowledge through research activities, particularly in addressing local educational challenges [7], [8]. Research engagement among educators has been linked to improved teaching quality, enhanced reflective practice, and stronger professional identity [9], [10]. Despite these benefits, research competence remains unevenly distributed, especially in regional higher education institutions and teacher education programs, where professional development opportunities are often limited [11], [12]. Therefore, targeted capacity-building initiatives are necessary to equip educators with the knowledge and skills required to conduct meaningful research.

Capacity building refers to structured interventions aimed at developing individuals' competencies, institutional systems, and organizational culture to achieve sustainable improvement [13], [14]. In educational settings, research capacity-building programs typically include training workshops, mentoring, collaborative research activities, and guided writing processes [15], [16]. These interventions are particularly effective when grounded in adult learning principles, which emphasize experiential learning, relevance to professional practice, and active engagement [17], [18]. Mentoring is especially critical because it provides personalized guidance, feedback, and support that facilitate skill acquisition and confidence development [19], [20].

STKIP Tamansiswa Bima, as a teacher education institution, plays a vital role in preparing educators and supporting educational development in the region. However, like many developing institutions, it faces challenges in strengthening research productivity and research culture

among its academic community. To address this issue, a community service initiative was conducted to enhance research capacity among teachers, principals, lecturers, and graduate students through the *Modern Research Mastery* program. The program aimed to provide structured training, mentoring, and practical experience in educational research.

This article reports the implementation and outcomes of the research capacity-building program as a community service initiative. Specifically, the study examines (1) the effectiveness of the program in improving participants' research knowledge and skills, (2) the impact on research productivity and collaboration, and (3) the institutional implications for strengthening research culture. The findings contribute to the literature on professional development and research capacity building, particularly in developing educational contexts.

Methods

A. Research Design

This study employed a qualitative descriptive approach to examine the implementation and outcomes of the research capacity-building program. Qualitative descriptive methods are appropriate for documenting program implementation and capturing participant experiences in professional development contexts [3], [15]. The community service program involved structured workshops, mentoring sessions, and guided research practice designed to enhance participants' research competencies.

B. Participants and Program Context

Participants included teachers, school principals, lecturers, and graduate students enrolled in the Pedagogy Master's Program at STKIP Tamansiswa Bima. These participants represented diverse educational backgrounds and varying levels of research experience. The program was implemented through intensive training sessions, mentoring workshops, and collaborative research activities over a structured timeline.

Capacity-building programs that involve diverse participants are effective in fostering collaborative learning and strengthening institutional research culture [13], [16]. The inclusion of multiple stakeholder groups also enhances knowledge transfer and sustainability [14], [19].

C. Program Structure

The program consisted of three main components:

1. Research methodology training
2. Academic writing and publication training
3. Mentoring and guided research practice

These components align with established research capacity development frameworks emphasizing knowledge acquisition, skill development, and practical application [5], [15].

D. Conceptual Framework

Figure 1 illustrates the conceptual framework of the Research Capacity Building Program, showing how inputs and implementation processes generate outputs that contribute to enhanced research competence, productivity, culture, and institutional capacity.



Fig. 1. Conceptual Framework of Research Capacity Building Program

The conceptual framework integrates capacity-building theory, adult learning principles, and research competency models [13], [17]. The framework assumes that structured inputs such as training and mentoring facilitate learning processes that lead to improved competencies and research outputs [15], [18]. Mentoring and experiential learning are critical mechanisms that transform knowledge into practical skills and sustainable research practices [16], [19].

E. Data Collection and Analysis

Data were collected through program documentation, participant outputs, and observational evaluation of participant engagement and performance. The data were analyzed using thematic analysis to identify patterns related to research competency development, research productivity, and institutional impact [3], [20]. The analysis focused on evaluating changes in participants' knowledge, skills, and research engagement.

Results and Discussion

A. Improvement of Research Knowledge and Skills

The capacity-building program significantly improved participants' understanding of research methodology, research design, and academic writing. Prior to the program, many participants demonstrated limited familiarity with research frameworks, research questions, and data analysis methods. After the intervention, participants showed improved ability to formulate research problems, design research methodologies, and develop research proposals. These

findings align with previous studies indicating that structured training enhances research knowledge and methodological competence among educators [5], [15], [16]. Professional development programs that incorporate mentoring and guided practice are particularly effective in strengthening research skills. Mentoring provides participants with opportunities to receive feedback, clarify research concepts, and refine research designs, thereby accelerating competency development [19], [20]. Participants also reported increased confidence in conducting research and engaging in academic writing, which is consistent with research demonstrating that capacity-building interventions improve research self-efficacy and motivation [9], [18].

Table 1. Improvement in Research Knowledge and Skills

Aspect	Before Program	After Program
Understanding of research design	Limited conceptual understanding	Strong conceptual and practical understanding
Ability to formulate research problems	General and unfocused topics	Specific and researchable questions
Knowledge of data collection methods	Limited awareness	Improved knowledge and application
Academic writing skills	Minimal academic writing experience	Ability to develop structured manuscripts
Research confidence	Low confidence	Increased confidence and motivation

These findings confirm that research training programs enhance participants' research competence by providing structured learning experiences and practical application opportunities. Research competency development requires both theoretical knowledge and experiential learning, which were integrated into this program [3], [17].

B. Development of Research Outputs and Collaboration

The program contributed significantly to the development of research outputs, including research proposals and manuscript drafts. Participants successfully developed research proposals addressing relevant educational challenges in their local contexts. These outcomes demonstrate the effectiveness of guided research practice in facilitating research productivity [6], [15]. Collaborative learning was a key factor in enhancing research productivity. Participants engaged in peer discussions, collaborative problem-solving, and shared feedback, which strengthened research quality and innovation. Collaborative research environments have been shown to improve research outcomes and foster sustainable research engagement [13], [16].

These results indicate that structured capacity-building programs facilitate research productivity by providing necessary guidance, mentoring, and collaborative opportunities. Research productivity increases when participants receive continuous support and structured training [5], [19].

Table 2. Development of Research Outputs

Output Type	Before Program	After Program
Research proposals	Very limited	Multiple completed proposals
Academic manuscripts	None	Draft manuscripts developed
Research collaboration	Minimal collaboration	Active collaborative research
Publication readiness	Low readiness	Improved publication readiness
Research planning skills	Limited planning ability	Structured research planning

The mentoring component played a crucial role in enabling participants to complete research outputs. Mentors provided feedback, guidance, and encouragement, helping participants overcome research challenges. Mentoring has been identified as a key factor in successful research capacity development programs [16], [20].

C. Institutional Impact and Sustainability

The program contributed to strengthening research culture and institutional capacity at STKIP Tamansiswa Bima. Participants demonstrated increased engagement in research activities and expressed commitment to continuing research beyond the program. Strengthening research culture is essential for institutional development and academic excellence [1], [13]. Institutional support is a critical factor in sustaining research capacity. Research capacity-building programs can create lasting impact when institutions provide ongoing support, mentoring, and research opportunities [14], [19]. The program also fostered collaborative networks among educators, lecturers, and graduate students, which are essential for sustaining research productivity.

Table 3. Institutional Impact

Institutional Aspect	Before Program	After Program
Research culture	Limited research engagement	Increased research engagement
Research collaboration	Weak collaboration	Strengthened collaboration
Research motivation	Low motivation	Increased motivation
Institutional research capacity	Limited capacity	Improved institutional capacity
Sustainability potential	Low sustainability	Strong sustainability potential

These findings demonstrate that capacity-building programs contribute to institutional development by strengthening research culture, enhancing collaboration, and improving research productivity. Institutional research capacity development is essential for improving educational quality and innovation [2], [14]. Sustainable research capacity requires continuous mentoring, institutional support, and opportunities for research engagement. Institutions must integrate research capacity development into their long-term strategic planning to ensure sustainability [13], [16].

Conclusion

The research capacity-building program implemented at STKIP Tamansiswa Bima successfully enhanced participants' research knowledge, skills, and productivity. The program demonstrated that structured training, mentoring, and guided research practice are effective

strategies for strengthening research capacity among educators and academic practitioners. Participants showed significant improvement in research competency, research productivity, and research confidence. The program also contributed to strengthening institutional research culture and collaborative networks. These findings highlight the importance of community service-based capacity-building initiatives in improving research capacity and institutional development. Future programs should emphasize sustained mentoring, institutional support, and integration of research capacity development into institutional strategies. Strengthening research capacity among educators is essential for improving educational quality, promoting evidence-based practice, and advancing educational innovation.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] D. F. Sarmento and J. Menegat, "The right to education and basic learning needs: The UNESCO perspective," *Revista Eletrônica de Educação*, vol. 15, 2021.
- [2] E. A. P. Leite, E. D. S. Ribeiro, K. G. Leite, and M. R. Uliana, "Some challenges and demands of initial teacher training in contemporary times," *Educação & Sociedade*, vol. 39, pp. 721–737, 2018.
- [3] J. W. Creswell and J. D. Creswell, *Research Design*, 5th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA, USA: Sage, 2018.
- [4] L. Cohen, L. Manion, and K. Morrison, *Research Methods in Education*, 8th ed. London, U.K.: Routledge, 2018.
- [5] J. Murray and E. Vanassche, "Research capacity building in and on teacher education: Developing practice and learning," *Nordisk Tidsskrift for Utdanning og Praksis*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 114–129, 2019.
- [6] A. O. Simisaye, "A study of research productivity of the academic staff in research institutes in south-west Nigeria," *Samaru Journal of Information Studies*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 75–99, 2019.
- [7] D. A. Schön, *The Reflective Practitioner: How Professionals Think in Action*. London, U.K.: Routledge, 2017.
- [8] D. H. Hargreaves, "A common-sense model of the professional development of teachers," in *Reconstructing Teacher Education: Teacher Development*, J. Elliott, Ed. London, U.K.: Falmer Press, 1993, pp. 86–92.
- [9] L. Darling-Hammond, M. E. Hyler, and M. Gardner, *Effective Teacher Professional Development*. Palo Alto, CA, USA: Learning Policy Institute, 2017.
- [10] J. J. Loughran, *Developing Reflective Practice: Learning About Teaching and Learning Through Modelling*. London, U.K.: Routledge, 2002.
- [11] E. Molina, C. Cobo, J. Pineda, and H. Rovner, *AI Revolution in Education: What You Need to Know*. Washington, DC, USA: World Bank, 2024.
- [12] M. Fullan, *The Six Secrets of Change 2.0: What the Best Leaders Do to Help Their Organizations Survive and Thrive*. Hoboken, NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons, 2026.
- [13] J. Matus, A. Walker, and S. Mickan, "Research capacity building frameworks for allied health professionals: A systematic review," *BMC Health Services Research*, vol. 18, no. 1, Art. no. 716, 2018.
- [14] A. H. Tammam, E. S. Mohamed, S. A. Mahmoud, M. S. Fawzy, A. Alrumayh, and A. S. Mohamed, "Research capacity building requirements for researchers: An experience from a public institute," *International Journal of Advanced and Applied Sciences*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 62–71, 2024.
- [15] M. W. McLaughlin and J. E. Talbert, "Professional learning communities: Building blocks for school culture and student learning," *Voices in Urban Education*, no. 27, pp. 35–45, Spring 2010.
- [16] W. B. Johnson and K. A. Griffin, *On Being a Mentor: A Guide for Higher Education Faculty*, 3rd ed. New York, NY, USA: Routledge, 2025.
- [17] M. S. Knowles, E. F. Holton III, and R. A. Swanson, *The Adult Learner: The Definitive Classic in Adult Education and Human Resource Development*, 8th ed. London, U.K.: Routledge, 2015.

- [18] M. Wijnen-Meijer, T. Brandhuber, A. Schneider, and P. O. Berberat, "Implementing Kolb's experiential learning cycle by linking real experience, case-based discussion and simulation," *Journal of Medical Education and Curricular Development*, vol. 9, 2022, Art. no. 23821205221091511.
- [19] V. Sakarkaya and N. Bumen, "What triggers teacher research engagement and sustainability in a higher education context in Turkey?," *Participatory Educational Research*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 325–342, 2022.
- [20] D. Sulisworo, S. Lestari, S. Jatmika, A. Mustafa, and N. Azizah, "Unlocking the path to professional teaching: Insights from student teachers and mentor teachers in an at-school practicum program," *Buletin Edukasi Indonesia*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 13–26, 2024.

Authors



Ibnu Khaldun   is an academic at STKIP Taman Siswa Bima, Indonesia. His scholarly interests include political science, political literacy, case-based learning, and anti-corruption education. His research explores contemporary political issues, educational approaches to civic and anti-corruption learning, and the use of technology in democratic processes. Recent publications address blockchain technology in elections and the integration of Maja Labo Dahu values into case-based learning to strengthen students' political awareness and engagement. (email: tanmaedysu@gmail.com).



Prof. Dr. Dwi Sulisworo    is a Professor and expert in Educational Technology at Universitas Ahmad Dahlan (UAD), specializing in transformative learning, digital learning innovation, and educational leadership. He leads the Doctoral Program in Education and serves as Chair of the Indonesian Educational Technology Professionals Association (IPTPI) in the Yogyakarta region, advocating technology integration in education systems. He has published widely on mobile and online learning, cooperative blended learning, and immersive technologies in teaching and learning. His research emphasizes adaptive, technology-enhanced pedagogies for 21st-century education reform. (email: dwi.sulisworo@uad.ac.id).



Rizalul Fiqry   is an academic at STKIP Taman Siswa Bima, Indonesia, specializing in Physics Education, science education, and learning technology. He is currently pursuing a Doctoral Program in Education at Universitas Ahmad Dahlan (admitted in 2025). He obtained a master's degree in Physics Education from Universitas Ahmad Dahlan (2016–2018) and a bachelor's degree in Physics from Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta (2005–2011). (email: doudonggo@gmail.com).



Dr. Ika Maryani, M.Pd.   is an Associate Professor at the Department of Doctoral Program in Education, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan (UAD), Yogyakarta, Indonesia. In 2022, she obtained her doctoral degree in educational studies, focusing on science education. Her research interests lie in teacher education, science education, higher education, and learning innovation. Her works have been published in books, articles, and proceedings at national and international levels. (email: ika.maryani@uad.ac.id).