Jurnal Genesis Indonesia
Vol. 5, No. 02, pp. 129-139
journal.iistr.org/index.php/JGI
DOI: 10.56741/IISTR.jgi.002162

The Role of Public Relations in Managing the State-Owned Enterprise Reputation Crisis

A Conceptual Study of the Case of PT Pertamina (Persero)

[1]Cindy Varelia Putri*, [1]Monicca Anggraeny, [1]Siti Nur Chasanah, [1]Pramudya Ibnu Subrata

Corresponding Author: *udapramudya12@gmail.com
[1] Universitas Slamet Riyadi, Surakarta, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history
Received 16 March 2026
Revised 14 April 2026
Accepted 7 May 2026

ABSTRACT

This study examines the conceptual role of Public Relations (PR) in managing organizational reputation and public trust, with a particular focus on State-Owned Enterprises (SOEs) in the digital era. Rapid developments in information technology have increased the risk of reputational crises, requiring organizations to adopt transparent and responsive communication strategies. Using a conceptual paper approach and a structured literature review, this research synthesizes various theoretical frameworks, including Situational Crisis Communication Theory and the organization-public relationship model. The analysis indicates that PR functions as a strategic managerial pillar that builds "reputational capital" through consistent two-way communication, which acts as a buffer during organizational crises. Furthermore, the study explores how effective crisis communication strategies can mitigate public uncertainty and restore trust by maintaining social legitimacy. The resulting conceptual model provides a systematic framework for understanding the interplay between PR strategies, crisis management, and long-term reputation preservation. This research contributes to the theoretical expansion of organizational communication by addressing the research gap in the SOE context. It concludes that a proactive PR approach is essential for navigating the complex digital landscape and suggests that future empirical studies should validate this framework across diverse industrial sectors.

Keywords
Crisis Communication
Organizational Reputation
Public Relations
Public Trust
State-Owned Enterprises

This is an open-access article under the CC–BY-SA license.

Introduction

The rapid evolution of digital technology and social media has significantly heightened the risk of reputational crises for organizations, including major state-owned enterprises. In this digital era, organizational reputation is regarded as a critical strategic asset linked to sustainability, social legitimacy, and long-term stakeholder relationships. Because information regarding organizational activities can be disseminated instantly across digital platforms, public perception can shift rapidly, necessitating communication strategies that are transparent, responsive, and adaptive [1],[2]. Media outlets serve not only as information channels but as active agents that frame events, where public discourse can amplify negative perceptions during a crisis. Consequently, crisis communication has become a fundamental element of Public Relations (PR), functioning to manage information flow, reduce public uncertainty, and facilitate reputational recovery [3],[4].

The phenomenon of reputational crisis is particularly observable in national energy sectors, such as PT Pertamina (Persero), which has faced public scrutiny regarding corporate governance and transparency. As a State-Owned Enterprise (SOE), Pertamina operates at the intersection of commercial interests and public policy, bearing a larger social responsibility than private firms due to its role in managing strategic national resources [5],[6]. While SOEs are vital instruments for national economic development and social welfare, they often face intense public attention and political pressure during organizational failures. Evidence suggests that legal probes and governance scandals within such firms not only damage their corporate image but also erode public trust and social legitimacy, which are essential for maintaining national energy stability [1],[7].

Despite the growing importance of PR in organizational management, academic literature focusing specifically on SOEs remains limited, as most studies prioritize private corporations or general public institutions [8],[9]. Furthermore, existing crisis communication research often relies on empirical testing of specific theories, such as the Situational Crisis Communication Theory (SCCT), rather than on developing comprehensive conceptual models that account for the unique relationships among state firms, the government, and the public [1],[10]. This research aims to bridge this gap by developing a conceptual framework that

explores how PR functions as a strategic mechanism to manage information and maintain stakeholder trust during a crisis [4],[9].

Literature Review

A. Public Relations Concepts

Public Relations (PR) is defined as a communication management process that connects an organization with various public groups interested in its activities. In classical literature, PR functions as a managerial role aimed at building mutually beneficial relationships through planned and systematic communication [4],[11]. Beyond merely disseminating information, PR involves managing relationships, analyzing public opinion, and evaluating the impact of organizational communication to ensure mutual understanding between the organization and its stakeholders [10],[11].

Modern PR has evolved from one-way information delivery toward a more participatory two-way communication model. This symmetrical approach emphasizes dialogue, positioning the public as active participants who shape organizational legitimacy rather than passive recipients of information [12],[13]. Within strategic management, PR practitioners are expected to contribute to decision-making by providing analyses of public opinion and social dynamics, ensuring that corporate policies align with stakeholder interests [3],[14].

B. Public Relations Theory

Public Relations theory positions organizational communication as a social system that connects an entity with its environment. Effective PR is not solely measured by message delivery but by the quality of the organization-public relationship, characterized by trust, commitment, and satisfaction [4],[15]. Based on the two-way symmetrical model, organizations obtain feedback to adjust policies according to public needs, thereby fostering long-term stability [11],[12].

In a strategic management perspective, PR serves as a managerial function vital for navigating social issues and potential conflicts that threaten reputation [14], [16]. This approach integrates organizational communication with overall corporate strategy to protect the firm's standing during crises or significant environmental shifts [3], [4]. By maintaining social legitimacy through dialogue and relationship management, PR supports the long-term sustainability and reputation of the organization [12], [16].

C. Public Trust

Public trust is understood as the community's belief in an organization’s integrity, competence, and responsibility. It is a fundamental element of the organization-public relationship that is built through continuous interaction and transparent, consistent communication [17],[18]. Organizations demonstrate their commitment to public interest

through responsible practices, which gradually foster societal confidence in the institution [17], [19].

There is a significant correlation between corporate reputation and public trust, where a positive reputation serves as an indicator of credibility and reliability [20],[21]. When an organization maintains ethical standards and meets stakeholder expectations, its reputation strengthens, thereby increasing public trust. Conversely, effective, open, and responsive communication is essential to maintain this trust, as it allows organizations to accurately explain policies and address emerging public concerns [4], [19].

Material and Methods

This study is designed as a conceptual paper, focusing on the development of theoretical ideas and models through the analysis of relevant literature. In this research type, empirical data is not gathered via surveys or experiments; instead, it involves a critical analysis of existing theories and prior research findings to explain the relationships between Public Relations strategy, crisis communication, corporate reputation, and public trust [22],[23]. This approach allows for a systematic conceptual analysis of organizational communication phenomena, providing theoretical contributions by establishing a framework that can serve as a foundation for future empirical investigations [22].

The methodology employs a structured literature review to examine scientific publications, including peer-reviewed international journal articles and academic books with high credibility [5],[24]. This process involves analyzing core concepts within public relations and reputation management to discover theoretical linkages between variables, ensuring that the analysis is based on reliable and up-to-date scientific references [5],[23]. The reviewed literature functions as the basis for constructing scholarly arguments that support the development of the conceptual framework, enabling the identification of research gaps while strengthening the study's theoretical grounding [5],[24].

The analytical technique utilized is conceptual analysis and theoretical synthesis, where various concepts are critically analyzed to identify interrelationships between research variables [22]. The process follows a systematic sequence: collecting relevant literature, grouping key concepts, and synthesizing theories to build the conceptual model. Through this method, the links between Public Relations strategy and the recovery of public trust are systematically explained. The resulting model is expected to provide a robust theoretical basis for understanding how crisis communication affects organizational standing, particularly in the context of state-owned enterprises [22], [24].

Results and Discussions

Public Relations (PR) is fundamentally understood as a strategic communication process designed to establish and maintain mutually beneficial relationships between organizations and their publics. In contemporary organizational communication, PR functions not merely as a promotional instrument but as a managerial activity that shapes organizational legitimacy, stakeholder engagement, and reputation sustainability [2], [25]. The development of PR practices has evolved significantly alongside the transformation of communication technology and digital media ecosystems. Organizations are now required to communicate transparently, responsively, and interactively to meet the expectations of increasingly active and participatory publics. Consequently, PR activities encompass communication planning, media management, issue monitoring, crisis response, and reputation maintenance as interconnected organizational functions [26], [27].

Within this context, PR concepts are closely associated with reputation management because public perception is largely constructed through communication experiences and organizational narratives. Corporate reputation emerges from repeated interactions between organizations and stakeholders over time. Effective PR strategies help organizations establish positive perceptions by ensuring message consistency, information transparency, and stakeholder responsiveness [2], [25]. These communication efforts contribute to the creation of organizational credibility, which becomes an important intangible asset in highly competitive and uncertain environments. When organizations consistently deliver trustworthy communication, stakeholders are more likely to perceive them as reliable and socially responsible institutions. Therefore, reputation should be viewed not only as a communication outcome but also as a strategic organizational resource [26],[27].

The theoretical foundation of PR is further strengthened through the application of crisis communication theories such as Situational Crisis Communication Theory (SCCT) and Image Restoration Theory (IRT). SCCT explains that organizational responses during crises significantly influence stakeholder perceptions regarding responsibility and accountability [25],[28]. According to this theory, organizations must select crisis response strategies that align with stakeholder attributions of responsibility. Transparent communication, corrective action, and timely clarification are considered essential components for minimizing reputational damage. Similarly, IRT emphasizes that organizations attempt to restore damaged reputations through strategies such as denial, justification, corrective action, and mortification [26], [27]. These theories demonstrate that PR activities are not limited to information dissemination but involve strategic efforts to manage meaning, public interpretation, and organizational legitimacy during uncertain situations.

Furthermore, the integration of digital communication technologies has transformed the implementation of PR theory in practice. Social media platforms accelerate information circulation and amplify public reactions, making organizational reputation increasingly vulnerable to reputational threats and communication failures [2],[28]. In digital communication environments, organizations must demonstrate communication agility and responsiveness to maintain stakeholder confidence. PR practitioners therefore need adaptive strategies capable of balancing transparency, consistency, and speed in managing organizational narratives. The integration of PR concepts, crisis communication theories, and digital communication practices creates a comprehensive analytical framework for understanding how organizations maintain legitimacy and reputational stability in contemporary society [25],[27]. Ultimately, PR theory emphasizes that organizational sustainability depends heavily on communication quality, stakeholder trust, and the ability to manage public perception strategically and ethically across both conventional and digital communication spaces.

Table 1. Summary of Public Relations Concepts and Theoretical Foundations in Organizational Reputation Management

Main Aspect	Description	Supporting Theory/Concept
Public Relations Function	Strategic communication process for managing stakeholder relationships	PR Management Theory
Reputation Management	Organizational reputation built through communication consistency and transparency	Corporate Reputation Concept
Crisis Communication	Managing organizational responses during crises	SCCT and IRT
Digital Communication	Social media accelerates interaction and reputational exposure	Digital PR Framework
Organizational Legitimacy	Public trust developed through ethical and responsive communication	Stakeholder Theory

Public trust represents one of the most essential outcomes of effective organizational communication and strategic public relations practices. Trust is generally defined as the willingness of stakeholders to believe that an organization acts responsibly, transparently, and consistently in fulfilling its commitments and social obligations [2], [27]. In organizational contexts, public trust is closely associated with credibility, legitimacy, accountability, and communication integrity. Organizations that consistently provide accurate information, demonstrate ethical behavior, and maintain responsive communication are more likely to establish sustainable relationships with their stakeholders. Consequently, trust becomes an intangible organizational asset that significantly influences reputation, stakeholder loyalty, and long-term institutional sustainability [25],[26].

The development of public trust is strongly influenced by communication experiences between organizations and their publics. Trust cannot be established instantly; rather, it

evolves gradually through continuous interactions characterized by openness, reliability, and mutual understanding. Strategic PR activities therefore play a critical role in fostering trust by ensuring that communication processes remain transparent and stakeholder-oriented [2],[25]. In crisis situations, trust becomes particularly fragile because stakeholders tend to evaluate organizational accountability based on communication responses and crisis management performance. Organizations that fail to communicate transparently during crises risk losing stakeholder confidence and experiencing severe reputational decline. Conversely, organizations capable of demonstrating empathy, responsibility, and corrective action are more likely to preserve public trust even under significant scrutiny [27],[28].

The relevance of public trust becomes even more significant in digital communication environments where information spreads rapidly and public opinion changes dynamically. Social media platforms empower stakeholders to actively evaluate, criticize, and disseminate organizational information in real time. This communication environment increases reputational vulnerability while simultaneously intensifying the importance of transparent and adaptive PR strategies [2],[28]. In digital spaces, public trust is strongly influenced by organizational responsiveness, consistency of messaging, and communication authenticity. Stakeholders increasingly expect organizations to engage directly with public concerns and provide immediate clarification during uncertain situations. Therefore, organizations must adopt communication approaches that emphasize openness, accountability, and participatory interaction to maintain social legitimacy [25],[27].

Moreover, public trust serves as a protective mechanism during reputational crises because organizations with strong reputational capital tend to receive greater stakeholder tolerance during periods of uncertainty [26], [28]. This reputational capital is developed through long-term communication consistency and responsible organizational behavior. When trust has been established successfully, stakeholders are more likely to perceive crises as temporary challenges rather than indicators of systemic organizational failure. As a result, trust functions not only as a communication outcome but also as a stabilizing factor that supports organizational resilience. Organizations that maintain transparent and ethical communication practices are generally more capable of preserving stakeholder confidence even amid intense public scrutiny and digital misinformation [3], [32]. In addition, sustained public trust can strengthen organizational adaptability by encouraging continued stakeholder support, cooperation, and legitimacy during periods of institutional disruption and reputational uncertainty [18], [40]. The integration of public trust within the conceptual framework of PR and crisis communication therefore highlights the importance of strategic communication management in sustaining organizational legitimacy, strengthening

stakeholder relationships, and protecting corporate reputation in increasingly volatile digital communication environments [2], [27].

Table 2. Summary of Public Trust Dimensions and Their Implications for Organizational Communication

Main Aspect	Description	Communication Implication
Public Trust	Stakeholder confidence in organizational integrity and accountability	Builds organizational legitimacy
Transparency	Open and accurate communication strengthens credibility	Enhances stakeholder confidence
Crisis Communication	Trust influenced by organizational response during crises	Reduces reputational damage
Digital Environment	Social media intensifies public scrutiny and interaction	Requires adaptive communication
Reputational Capital	Positive reputation provides resilience during crises	Sustains long-term trust

Conclusion

This study concludes that Public Relations serves as a vital strategic pillar in navigating the complexities of organizational crises, particularly within the unique landscape of state-owned enterprises. The developed conceptual framework demonstrates that a proactive PR strategy, built upon the principles of transparency and consistent two-way communication, is essential for mitigating the immediate negative impacts of a crisis. By positioning PR as a core managerial function rather than a mere technical tool, organizations can effectively manage the flow of information and maintain stakeholder alignment even during high-pressure situations.

Furthermore, the integration of crisis communication with reputation management highlights that an organization's standing is not merely recovered post-crisis but is protected by the "reputational capital" built through long-term ethical practices. This research emphasizes that in the digital era, where information moves instantaneously, the speed and accountability of an organization's response directly determine the preservation of public trust. The proposed model provides a systematic guide for organizations to move from crisis to opportunity by prioritizing credibility and social responsibility. Future empirical research is encouraged to test this framework across different industrial sectors to further validate its applicability in diverse crisis scenarios.

Limitation and Suggestions

This study identifies several limitations that should be considered when interpreting the proposed conceptual framework. Primarily, as a conceptual paper, this research focuses on theoretical synthesis and model development without the inclusion of direct empirical data collection. Consequently, the interrelationships between the identified constructs have not been statistically tested or validated through qualitative or quantitative field methods [22],

[12]. Furthermore, while the analysis draws from a wide range of scholarly literature, the dynamic nature of digital communication means that certain emerging global trends in crisis management may not be fully captured [4], [39]. Additionally, the specific focus on State-Owned Enterprises (SOEs) may limit the generalizability of the model, as the unique relationship between state firms and the government creates communication dynamics that differ significantly from private sector organizations [10], [1].

Based on these limitations, several suggestions are proposed for future research. Future studies are encouraged to empirically test the conceptual model through quantitative approaches, such as surveys, to statistically verify the links between Public Relations strategy, reputation, and public trust [4], [23]. Qualitative methods, including in-depth interviews with practitioners or case studies, could also provide a more nuanced understanding of how these strategies are operationalized in real-world crises. Moreover, expanding the organizational context to include private corporations and non-profit sectors would allow for comparative analyses that broaden the scope of the framework [1], [39]. Lastly, integrating the specific role of social media and digital platforms into the model is crucial for understanding how organizations can effectively manage their digital footprint and maintain public confidence in an increasingly transparent information environment [4], [23].

Conflict of Interest

The author declares that no conflict of interest could affect the objectivity or integrity of the research.

References

[1] W. T. Coombs, "Protecting organization reputations during a crisis: The development and application of situational crisis communication theory," *Corporate Reputation Review*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 163–176, 2007, doi: 10.1057/palgrave.crr.1550049.

[2] C. J. Fombrun, *Reputation: Realizing Value from the Corporate Image*. Boston, MA, USA: Harvard Business School Press, 1996.

[3] W. T. Coombs and S. J. Holladay, *The Handbook of Crisis Communication*. Malden, MA, USA: Wiley-Blackwell, 2010.

[4] R. L. Heath, Ed., *The SAGE Handbook of Public Relations*, 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA, USA: SAGE Publications, 2010.

[5] J. W. Creswell and J. D. Creswell, *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches*, 5th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA, USA: SAGE Publications, 2018.

[6] S. Wiranta, "Privatisasi BUMN dan perannya terhadap pembangunan ekonomi nasional: Studi kasus PT Garuda Indonesia," *Jurnal Ekonomi dan Kebijakan Publik*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 1–14, 2011.

[7] Reuters, "Indonesia names nine more suspects in Pertamina graft probe," Jul. 10, 2025. [Online]. Available: Reuters. [Accessed: Mar. 14, 2026].

[8] Ø. Ihlen, B. Van Ruler, and M. Fredriksson, *Public Relations and Social Theory: Key Figures and Concepts*. New York, NY, USA: Routledge, 2011.

[9] R. Kriyantono, *Public Relations Writing: Teknik Produksi Media Public Relations dan Publisitas Korporat*. Jakarta, Indonesia: Prenada Media, 2018.

[10] W. T. Coombs, *Ongoing Crisis Communication: Planning, Managing, and Responding*, 5th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA, USA: SAGE Publications, 2019.

[11] J. E. Grunig and T. Hunt, *Managing Public Relations*. New York, NY, USA: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1984.

[12] J. E. Grunig, L. A. Grunig, and D. M. Dozier, *Excellent Public Relations and Effective Organizations: A Study of Communication Management in Three Countries*. Hillsdale, NJ, USA: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 2002.
[13] J. L'Etang, *Public Relations: Concepts, Practice and Critique*. Thousand Oaks, CA, USA: SAGE Publications, 2008.
[14] J. E. Grunig, "Public relations and strategic management: Institutionalizing organization–public relationships in contemporary society," *Central European Journal of Communication*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 11–31, 2011.
[15] P. Shockley-Zalabak, *Fundamentals of Organizational Communication: Knowledge, Sensitivity, Skills, Values*, 9th ed. Boston, MA, USA: Pearson, 2015.
[16] R. Tench and L. Yeomans, *Exploring Public Relations*, 4th ed. Harlow, U.K.: Pearson Education, 2017.
[17] R. C. Mayer, J. H. Davis, and F. D. Schoorman, "An integrative model of organizational trust," *Academy of Management Review*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 709–734, 1995, doi: 10.2307/258792.
[18] J. A. Ledingham and S. D. Bruning, *Public Relations as Relationship Management: A Relational Approach to the Study and Practice of Public Relations*. Hillsdale, NJ, USA: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 2000.
[19] B. L. Rawlins, "Measuring the relationship between organizational transparency and employee trust," *Public Relations Journal*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 1–21, 2008.
[20] M. L. Barnett, J. M. Jermier, and B. A. Lafferty, "Corporate reputation: The definitional landscape," *Corporate Reputation Review*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 26–38, 2006, doi: 10.1057/palgrave.crr.1550012.
[21] C. J. Fombrun and C. B. M. Van Riel, *Fame and Fortune: How Successful Companies Build Winning Reputations*. Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA: FT Press, 2004.
[22] E. Jaakkola, "Designing conceptual articles: Four approaches," *AMS Review*, vol. 10, nos. 1–2, pp. 18–26, 2020, doi: 10.1007/s13162-020-00161-0.
[23] P. D. Leedy and J. E. Ormrod, *Practical Research: Planning and Design*, 12th ed. Boston, MA, USA: Pearson, 2021.
[24] M. J. Grant and A. Booth, "A typology of reviews: An analysis of 14 review types and associated methodologies," *Health Information & Libraries Journal*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 91–108, 2009, doi: 10.1111/j.1471-1842.2009.00848.x.
[25] W. T. Coombs, *Ongoing Crisis Communication: Planning, Managing, and Responding*, 4th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA, USA: SAGE Publications, 2015.
[26] P. A. Argenti, *Corporate Communication*, 7th ed. New York, NY, USA: McGraw-Hill Education, 2016.
[27] S. Kim and M. T. Ferguson, "Dimensions of effective CSR communication based on public expectations," *Journal of Marketing Communications*, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 549–567, 2018, doi: 10.1080/13527266.2016.1148596.
[28] R. R. Ulmer, T. L. Sellnow, and M. W. Seeger, *Effective Crisis Communication: Moving from Crisis to Opportunity*, 4th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA, USA: SAGE Publications, 2018.

Authors



Cindy Varelia Putri is an undergraduate student in the Management Study Program, Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Slamet Riyadi. This article presents a conceptual discussion on the role of public relations in managing reputation crises in state-owned enterprises, with particular reference to PT Pertamina (Persero). By reviewing relevant theories and literature, this study seeks to provide insights into how public relations strategies can support the protection and recovery of corporate reputation. (email: cindyvarelia816@gmail.com).



Monicca Anggraeny is an undergraduate student in the Management Study Program, Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Slamet Riyadi. This article examines the role of public relations in managing reputation crises in state-owned enterprises through a conceptual approach, with a focus on the case of PT Pertamina (Persero). The study reviews relevant theories and literature to provide insights into public relations strategies in maintaining and restoring corporate reputation. (email: monicanggreny@gmail.com).



Siti Nur Chasanah is currently enrolled as an undergraduate student in the Management Study Program, Faculty of Economics and Business, at Universitas Slamet Riyadi, Surakarta, Indonesia. This conceptual article was developed as part of her academic contribution to the field of management studies. Various concepts, theories, and relevant literature are reviewed and analyzed to provide a comprehensive understanding of the discussed topic. Through this conceptual approach, insights are expected to contribute to academic discourse and future research development in the field of management. (email: sitynch294@gmail.com).



Pramudya Ibnu Subrata is a lecturer at the Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Slamet Riyadi. His academic responsibilities include teaching, conducting research, and engaging in community service in the field of economics and business. His work is dedicated to supporting the development of academic knowledge and enhancing students' understanding of economic and business concepts. (email: udapramudya12@gmail.com).