



Shifting Canvases: The Evolution of Media and Techniques in Art Education with Generative AI

¹Aysel Arslan, ²Probosiwi*

¹Civas University, Civas, Turkey

²Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

*Correspondence: probosiwi@pgsd.uad.ac.id

ABSTRACT

Generative AI has revolutionized art education by introducing novel media and techniques, from AI-generated art and generative design to interactive, co-creative workflows. This opinion-based article critically examines how tools like DALL·E, MidJourney, Artbreeder, and Runway ML transform artistic teaching and learning. Through a literature review, we identify pedagogical implications, democratization effects, and ethical considerations. Our results, presented in structured tables, highlight emerging opportunities and tensions. We argue that AI serves as both a disruptor and enhancer, necessitating updated curriculum frameworks that embrace creative agency and critical literacy.

Keywords: AI-generated Art, Art Education, DALL·E, Generative AI, Interactive Art, MidJourney, Runway ML

Article history

Received 13 July 2025

Revised 29 July 2025

Accepted 31 July 2025

journal.iistr.org/index.php/JARDIN

DOI: [10.56741/jardin.v1i01.1248](https://doi.org/10.56741/jardin.v1i01.1248)



JARDIN

The Journal of Art and Design Innovation

VOL. 1, No. 1, pp. 51-59

Published by Institut Kesenian Jakarta, and managed by IISTR.

© 2025 by the authors. Licensed to IISTR, Indonesia. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License (**CC BY-SA 4.0**).

Introduction

Recent research increasingly explores the integration of generative artificial intelligence (GAI) in art education, emphasizing its transformative potential in enhancing creativity and the overall learning experience. As emerging technologies like DALL·E 2, Stable Diffusion, and MidJourney become more accessible, educators are beginning to harness their capabilities to reimagine how students engage with art and design. GAI enables students to interact with visual materials through text prompts and iterative

processes, fostering active participation in constructivist learning environments that prioritize exploration, reflection, and meaning-making [1]. In such environments, students are not merely passive recipients of artistic knowledge but co-creators, critically analyzing AI-generated outputs and refining their understanding of artistic principles through experimentation and dialogue.

Despite the growing enthusiasm for these technologies, researchers caution against viewing GAI as a replacement for human creativity. A cross-disciplinary study of educators and students in higher education found that while GAI tools are widely appreciated for their ability to support the generation of illustrations and visual ideation, there is a unanimous agreement that they cannot replicate the depth, intuition, and cultural context embedded in human creativity [2]. Rather than replacing the artist, GAI is an augmentation tool that extends creative possibilities while prompting new discussions around authorship and agency. The ability to iteratively adjust prompts and visualize complex ideas allows students to develop conceptual clarity and reflect on the limitations and possibilities of algorithmic generation [3]. Teaching students prompt engineering techniques and engaging them in critical reflection on the output of AI systems empowers them to navigate this new terrain with creativity and skepticism.

One significant contribution to this discourse is a proposed generative AI-based art education model to enhance creativity and convergent competency across varying educational stages [4]. This model emphasizes differentiated integration strategies based on students' developmental levels and includes practical tools such as an "Art Game" that helps bridge traditional artmaking practices with GAI-enhanced workflows. It also highlights the need for institutional support in addressing implementation challenges and ensuring equitable access. These collective studies suggest that when thoughtfully incorporated into curricula, GAI can act as a catalyst for cultivating critical thinking, visual literacy, and innovative expression as skills increasingly essential in contemporary creative industries and academic environments.

Historically, art education has centered around traditional media (canvas, clay, paper, and paints), emphasizing developing manual dexterity, aesthetic sensitivity, and mastery of studio techniques. This paradigm, while foundational, has typically positioned technology as external or supplementary to the creative process. However, the advent of generative AI marks a paradigm shift, introducing tools capable of image synthesis, participatory design, and dynamic visual generation that challenge conventional definitions of authorship, originality, and craftsmanship. As AI-generated images become more refined and accessible, platforms like DALL·E and MidJourney have significantly redefined how

artists and learners conceptualize and produce visual content. These tools allow for real-time visualization of abstract ideas, rapid prototyping, and cross-modal experimentation, aligning with contemporary pedagogical goals of fostering interdisciplinary and adaptive competencies (academia.edu).

At the same time, the integration of generative AI in art education has provoked critical discussions around ethical concerns such as intellectual property rights, algorithmic bias, and the erosion of traditional artistic labor. These debates necessitate a reevaluation of the theoretical underpinnings of art instruction. Constructivist theories of learning, which prioritize learner-driven inquiry and hands-on engagement, offer a helpful lens to examine these developments. When implemented with pedagogical intention, AI tools can support meaningful, reflective engagement rather than superficial automation of artistic tasks [5],[6]. In this article, we offer an opinion-driven analysis supported by emerging literature to examine how the evolution of media through GAI technologies is reshaping art education's practice and pedagogy. We argue that educators must adapt to these tools and actively shape their use to align with ethical, creative, and educational values.

Methods

We conducted a narrative literature review across peer-reviewed and industry sources (2023–2025). Focusing on four key AI tools, we synthesized findings regarding pedagogical impact. Results were organized into three educational dimensions: AI-generated synthesis, generative design, and interactive art. Each is contextualized in subsections with illustrative tables.

Results and Discussion

A. Selected Papers

This table summarizes eight peer-reviewed and preprint studies on integrating generative AI in art education published between 2023 and 2025. It includes the authors, publication year, journal name, and article titles. The studies span diverse educational contexts (from K-9 to higher education) and explore themes such as constructivist learning, prompt engineering, creative competency, co-creation, and ethical considerations. Together, they provide a comprehensive foundation for analyzing current trends and pedagogical strategies in AI-enhanced art education.

The prominence of creativity and competencies underscores a consistent research focus on enhancing students' creative capacities and convergent thinking through AI-mediated processes [4],[7]. Words like teaching, learning, and curriculum emphasize the ongoing shift in instructional design, where educators reframe traditional art studios as dynamic, AI-enhanced learning environments [3],[8].

Terms such as inclusive, disabilities, and accessibility highlight the equity implications of AI-based tools, particularly their ability to democratize participation for students with diverse learning needs [1],[5]. The frequent appearance of ethical, ownership, authorship, and intellectual property indicates growing concern around legal and philosophical questions raised by AI-generated content [2],[6]. Furthermore, the co-occurrence of collaboration, dialogic, and constructivist points to pedagogical models prioritizing co-creative and reflective practices, essential for developing AI literacy in arts education [1],[5].

C. AI-Generated Art & Image Synthesis

Table 2 compares four popular generative AI platforms used in art education based on model type, input method, accessibility, and notable features.

Table 2. Platforms Enabling Prompt-Based Visual Creation

Platform	Model Type	Input Method	Accessibility	Notable Feature
DALL·E 3	Transformer-based	Text prompts	Medium–High	Prompt refinement controls (emerald.com)
MidJourney	Diffusion-based	Text prompts	High	Photo-quality visuals
Artbreeder	GAN-based	Image sliders	High	Blendable styles
Stable Diffusion	Open-source	Prompts/images	Very High	Education-friendly

In educational contexts, such tools democratize access to visual creativity and encourage iterative experimentation, particularly for students with limited technical skills [1]. Generative AI platforms like DALL·E 2 and Stable Diffusion empower learners to engage in art creation through prompt-based manipulation, which enhances their aesthetic decision-making and fosters inclusive participation, especially for students with disabilities [1], [3].

However, scholars have cautioned against potential drawbacks, including concerns about stylistic homogenization and "labor theft" where the creative labor embedded in training datasets is extracted without compensation or attribution [2]. The growing use of image synthesis tools also necessitates the development of new theoretical and ethical frameworks, as traditional authorship and artistic originality models are disrupted [8].

Moreover, while platforms like Artbreeder and MidJourney offer high accessibility and visual realism, their pedagogical value depends on how they are integrated into curricular frameworks. Research suggests that without a critical engagement strategy, such tools risk undermining foundational design learning and creative exploration [2],[6]. Therefore,

prompt-based image generation in education must be accompanied by dialogic teaching and critical reflection to support creative agency and conceptual depth [5].

D. Generative Design & Neural Techniques

Table 3 highlights algorithmic models reshaping design pedagogy and visual problem-solving in art education.

Table 3. Algorithmic Models Reshaping Design Pedagogy And Visual Problem-Solving

Technique	Benefit	Limitation	Education Impact
GANs	Style synthesis	Mode collapse risk	Introduces stochastic creativity
Diffusion Models	High-quality realism	Biases in training data	Enables experimentation with concept rendering
Multi-modal AI	Image + text/video integration	Requires advanced tech skills	Encourages interdisciplinary projects
Prompt engineering	Conceptual clarity	High learning curve	Teaches semantic-visual mapping

Generative design techniques, particularly those employing GANs and diffusion models, transform traditional studio practices into computational labs emphasizing iterative exploration and creative discovery [3],[4]. These methods enable students to manipulate form, content, and style through algorithmic prompts, opening new visual ideation and conceptual experimentation pathways.

Prompt engineering, a component of generative AI interaction, helps students develop semantic-to-visual reasoning skills crucial for navigating AI-enhanced creativity [3]. This process allows precise control over outputs while fostering metacognitive awareness of design intent. However, the steep learning curve and abstraction of prompt syntax require scaffolded pedagogical approaches to ensure all learners can benefit [5]. Despite these educational benefits, significant challenges remain. Model biases often stem from uncured training data, and risks surrounding intellectual property demand the cultivation of critical AI literacy among students [2],[6]. Moreover, the interdisciplinary potential of multimodal AI tools suggests the need for updated curricula that integrate visual arts with technology, ethics, and digital humanities [7],[8].

As generative neural techniques become increasingly embedded in educational practices, institutions must balance innovation with responsibility, ensuring students are proficient users and reflective thinkers in the evolving landscape of AI-driven design.

E. Interactive & Co-creative Art

Table 4 shows platforms enabling human-AI collaboration in creative workflows, where learners shift from passive users to active co-creators alongside intelligent systems.

Table 4. Platforms Enabling Human-AI Collaboration

Tool	Interaction Style	User Role	Pedagogical Value
Runway ML	Model chaining GUI	Co-creator	Low-code experimentation
Runway Gen 4	Video + image prompts	Co-creator	Experimental VFX
Stable Diffusion	Prompt or sketch input	Human-augmenter	Prototyping & ideation
Collaborative setups	Real-time joint editing	Partner	Promotes dialogic learning

Work by institutions like the Rhode Island School of Design (RISD) demonstrates how educators integrate generative AI through flexible, exploratory strategies that foreground interaction and iteration [8]. Platforms such as Runway ML and Stable Diffusion offer intuitive interfaces for model chaining and sketch-based input, enabling students to act as co-designers in computational workflows. These tools are instrumental in fostering low-code, project-based experimentation that aligns with constructivist pedagogy [1],[5].

In educational settings, co-creative art experiences with AI prompt students to engage with uncertainty, chance, and improvisation as key aspects of creative thinking. Through collaborative configurations, such as real-time joint editing or mixed-human-AI inputs, learners develop dialogic practices that encourage mutual reflection and negotiation of meaning [5],[6]. These interactions cultivate technical skill and promote meta-thinking about authorship, agency, and control in the creative process [2].

Significantly, such environments expand the role of the student from content consumer to computational partner, enhancing engagement and fostering adaptive competencies. As generative systems grow more autonomous, educators are called to rethink classroom dynamics, shifting from instructor-centered delivery to facilitating exploratory, AI-augmented creativity [4],[7].

Integrating generative AI into art education has introduced transformative changes in curriculum design, studio practices, and student creativity. Nguyen and Truong [9] highlight that the current state of generative AI in art education is marked by experimentation and cautious optimism, with institutions exploring tools like RunwayML and DALL·E to support visual ideation and composition. This shift is not merely technological but also pedagogical, as educators begin to reframe traditional studio processes. Domingo [10] argues that generative AI is not replacing foundational art skills but is augmenting visual arts curricula by encouraging students to engage with machine-assisted creativity critically. Their study emphasizes equipping students with technical fluency and conceptual understanding to navigate the evolving landscape of AI-generated art.

Several case studies further illustrate how generative AI is reshaping learning outcomes and instructional strategies in the arts. Campbell [11], through his case study at the Rhode Island School of Design (RISD), shows how AI tools can be used in collaborative studio

environments, allowing students to prototype and iterate more efficiently. Similarly, Kondo et al. [12] discuss prompt-based learning as a strategy that fosters critical thinking, where students learn to refine language inputs to achieve desired visual outputs developing both creative and analytical skills. Ethical and aesthetic considerations are also central to this shift. Lyu and Huang [13] stress integrating discussions around authorship, bias, and originality into pedagogy. Korpikoski and Laakkonen [14] note that studio practices are becoming more interdisciplinary, blending code, image, and concept. As Wellner [15] posits, the rise of algorithmic imagination may redefine what students produce and how they conceive of artistic agency and authorship in the digital age.

Conclusion

Generative AI transforms art education by expanding media, redefining roles, and altering beliefs about human creativity. While democratization and experimentation are empowering, educators must address authorship ethics, bias, and critical AI literacy. Curricula should integrate hands-on prompt practices, co-creation labs, and ethical discussions. AI should augment (not replace) the human impetus of art.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] J. Pavlik and O. Pavlik, "Art Education and Generative AI: Creative Practice or Algorithmic Assistance?" *Creative Education*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 407–420, 2024.
- [2] S. Sáez-Velasco, M. Alaguero-Rodríguez, V. Delgado-Benito, and S. Rodríguez-Cano, "Analysing the impact of generative AI in arts education: A cross-disciplinary perspective of educators and students in higher education," *Informatics*, vol. 11, no. 2, p. 37, Jun. 2024.
- [3] J. Hutson, J. Lively, B. Robertson, P. Cotroneo, and M. Lang, "Expanding horizons: AI tools and workflows in art practice," in *Creative Convergence: The AI Renaissance in Art and Design*, Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2023, pp. 101–132.
- [4] S. E. Park and W. Chang, "Technology Convergence in Arts and Cultural Education: What Questions to Ask?," in *Arts Education and Sustainability in a Time of Crisis*, Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore, 2025, pp. 215–225.
- [5] H. Vartiainen, M. Tedre, and I. Jormanainen, "Co-creating digital art with generative AI in K-9 education: Socio-material insights," *Int. J. Educ. Through Art*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 405–423, 2023.
- [6] J. Kim, J. Kang, T. Kim, H. Song, and J. Han, "Alphadapr: An AI-based explainable expert support system for art therapy," in *Proc. 28th Int. Conf. Intell. User Interfaces*, Mar. 2023, pp. 19–31.
- [7] A. F. C. A. Fathoni, "Leveraging generative AI solutions in art and design education: Bridging sustainable creativity and fostering academic integrity for an innovative society," in *E3S Web Conf.*, vol. 426, p. 01102, 2023.

- [8] A. Sims, "From Creation to Curriculum: Examining the role of generative AI in Arts Universities," *arXiv preprint*, arXiv:2412.16531, 2024.
- [9] T. N. Nguyen and H. T. Truong, "Trends and emerging themes in the effects of generative artificial intelligence in education: A systematic review," *Eurasia J. Math. Sci. Technol. Educ.*, vol. 21, no. 4, p. em2613, 2025.
- [10] I. C. Domingo, "Reimagining Arts Education with AI: From Visual Creation to Personalized Learning," in *Proc. CIVAE 2025: 7th Interdisciplinary and Virtual Conf. on Arts in Education*, MusicoGuia, Mar. 2025, pp. 408–412.
- [11] J. M. Campbell, *On the Last Afternoon: Life, Difference and Technocapital in the Age of Global Ecocide*, Doctoral dissertation, ResearchSpace@ Auckland, 2021.
- [12] K. Kondo, H. Nagayoshi, M. Nagata, and J. Kanebako, "Analysis of AI Prompts for Introductory Education in Generative Art," *J. Geometry Graphics*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 213–227, 2024.
- [13] H. Lyu and S. Huang, "Aesthetic Education in the Age of Artificial Intelligence: Challenges, Opportunities, and Prospects," *J. Intell. Knowl. Eng.*, vol. 2, no. 2, p. 103, 2024.
- [14] K. Korpikoski and M. P. Laakkonen, "Designing a Future Metaverse for Nokia: a Lifecycle for Business Process Management," in *Proc. Electron. Imaging Visual Arts Conf.*, Polistampa, May 2024, pp. 63–68.
- [15] G. Wellner, "Digital imagination, fantasy, AI art," *Found. Sci.*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 1445–1451, 2022.