Non-thermal Food Processing Technologies for Reducing Microbial Loads

Manuscript received June 22, 2024; revised September 18, 2024

Sodiq Femi Akanni*
Department of Food Engineering
Ladoke Akintola University of Technology
Nigeria
akanni-sf@ulster.ac.uk

Moruf Olanrewaju Oke
Department of Food Engineering
Ladoke Akintola University of Technology
Nigeria
mooke47@lautech.edu.ng

Sogo James Olatunde
Department of Food Engineering
Ladoke Akintola University of Technology
Nigeria
sjolatunde@lautech.edu.ng

***Abstract*— This study provides a comprehensive review of non-thermal food processing technologies and their effectiveness in preventing microbial contamination and improving food quality. Non-thermal treatments, including high-pressure processing (HPP), pulsed electric fields (PEF), ultraviolet (UV) irradiation, plasma treatment, and ohmic heating, offer innovative alternatives to traditional thermal methods for microbial control. These technologies leverage mechanisms such as pressure, electrical energy, light, or reactive species to achieve microbial inactivation while preserving sensory attributes and nutritional integrity. Despite their considerable potential, non-thermal treatments face challenges and limitations related to technological complexity, regulatory considerations, cost implications, and efficacy against specific microorganisms or food matrices. Addressing these challenges requires collaboration between industry stakeholders, regulatory agencies, and research institutions to drive innovation, establish regulatory frameworks, and optimize implementation strategies. By overcoming current limitations and harnessing the full potential of non-thermal treatments, the food industry can enhance food safety, quality, and sustainability while meeting consumer demands for safe and minimally processed foods.**

Keywords*— *Non-thermal food processing, microbial control, high-pressure processing, ohmic heating, plasma treatment, pulsed electric fields, ultraviolet irradiation

I. Introduction

The contemporary food production process relies heavily on food processing, which has many goals, including the preservation of sensory qualities and nutritional quality, the extension of shelf life, and the assurance of food safety [1]. These aims have traditionally been achieved by using traditional thermal processing procedures like pasteurization and sterilization, which successfully reduce bacteria populations in foods. On the other hand, there are a lot of negative aspects to these approaches, such as nutrition loss and changes to sensory qualities. Recent years have seen the rise of non-thermal food processing technologies, which offer hope as substitutes for more traditional thermal techniques. To accomplish microbial control while reducing the unfavorable impacts on food quality, these methods employ physical or chemical mechanisms other than heat [2]. With its capacity to meet the rising demand for minimally processed, safe, and high-quality foods, non-thermal technologies have the potential to completely transform the food sector.

The primary objective of this literature review is to examine the effect of non-thermal food processing technologies on preventing and reducing microbial loads in foods, while simultaneously improving food quality. By exploring the principles, applications, and effectiveness of various non-thermal technologies, this review aims to provide insights into their role in modern food production and their potential to meet the evolving needs of consumers and the food industry alike. Understanding the mechanisms underlying non-thermal technologies and their impact on microbial populations is crucial for optimizing their use in food processing. Additionally, assessing their effects on food quality parameters such as nutritional content, sensory attributes, and chemical composition is essential for ensuring consumer acceptance and market viability.

Technology in non-thermal food processing has revolutionized the food industry by regulating microbial populations and improving food quality without heat [3]. These technologies use high-pressure, electric fields, UV irradiation, or plasma therapy to kill germs without changing food taste, texture, or nutrition. Due to customer demand for minimally processed, safe, and high-quality foods, non-thermal technologies are becoming more significant [4]. This literature review examines the efficacy of non-thermal food processing technologies in reducing microbial loads and improving food quality to better understand their role in modern food production and their ability to meet customer and industry demands [5]. Table 1 summarizes non-thermal food processing technology. The methods, benefits, and uses of these technologies are described to assist food processing industries satisfy consumer demand for minimally processed, safe, and high-quality meals.

This review will begin by providing an overview of traditional thermal processing methods and introducing key non-thermal technologies, including high-pressure processing (HPP), pulsed electric fields (PEF), ultraviolet (UV) irradiation, plasma treatment, cold plasma technology, and ohmic heating. Subsequent sections will delve into the effectiveness of these technologies in microbial load reduction and their potential to enhance food quality. Challenges, limitations, and future research directions will also be discussed to provide a comprehensive understanding of the current landscape of non-thermal food processing technologies. Ultimately, this review aims to contribute to the advancement of food safety, quality, and innovation in the food industry.

II. Results and Discussion

An examination of non-thermal technologies in comparison to conventional thermal techniques in food processing reveals a complex situation where technological improvements align with the requirements of contemporary

 EISSN: 2961-872X ● PISSN: 2961-8924 ● DOI: 10.56741/esl.v3i03.643

food safety, quality, and sustainability standards [6]. Conventional thermal techniques, such as thermal pasteurization and sterilization, have been widely used in food preservation for a long time. These procedures depend on heat to deactivate harmful microorganisms and enzymes. Although efficient, these technologies generally involve elevated temperatures and extended processing durations, which may negatively impact the sensory characteristics, nutritional composition, and overall quality of food products [7].

On the other hand, non-thermal technologies provide creative options that address the limitations of conventional thermal approaches while achieving similar or better outcomes in terms of microbial control and food quality. Non-thermal technologies, such as high-pressure processing (HPP), pulsed electric fields (PEF), ultraviolet (UV) irradiation, plasma treatment, and ohmic heating, function at or close to room temperatures. This minimizes the likelihood of thermal degradation and helps maintain the sensory characteristics and nutritional value of the treated food. These technologies use various methods such as pressure, electrical energy, light, or reactive species to deactivate microbes, deactivate enzymes, and modify surfaces. This helps improve food safety, quality, and shelf life [8].

High-pressure processing (HPP) uses hydrostatic pressure to deactivate microbial pathogens while maintaining the sensory and nutritional characteristics of food. Pulsed electric fields (PEF) provide brief surges of electrical energy to break the membranes of microbial cells, resulting in the deactivation of microorganisms without the need for heat. Ultraviolet (UV) irradiation involves exposing food surfaces to UV light, which causes harm to the DNA of bacteria, making it impossible for them to reproduce. Plasma therapy produces reactive species that infiltrate microbial cells, resulting in harm to cell membranes and metabolic activities. Ohmic heating is a method that produces heat inside food by using electrical resistance. This technique allows for fast and even heating without the concern of uneven temperatures or localized areas of high heat.

A comparative examination of these non-thermal technologies in comparison to standard thermal processes demonstrates several benefits, such as decreased processing durations, lower energy use, higher food quality, and greater sustainability. Non-thermal methods provide accurate manipulation of processing parameters, enabling customized treatments that fulfill particular goals related to food safety and quality. Furthermore, these technologies can be used in a diverse array of food items, encompassing both liquid and solid meals, while maintaining the integrity and sensory characteristics of the products [9].

Nevertheless, it is crucial to acknowledge that non-thermal technologies also come with obstacles and restrictions, such as expenses related to equipment, regulatory factors, and possible effects on the texture and taste of food [10]. Furthermore, the effectiveness of non-thermal methods might differ based on aspects like as the content of the food, the amount of microorganisms present, and the circumstances in which the processing takes place. Despite the difficulties, continuous research and technical progress are still pushing forward the development of non-thermal technologies. This is broadening their range of uses and enhancing their efficiency in meeting the changing requirements of the food industry [11].

A. Factors influencing microbial reduction efficacy

The effectiveness of microbial reduction in food processing is controlled by a multitude of parameters that together determine the efficiency of microbial control measures. The variety of microbial species and strains in food items is a key consideration, since each of them may have different degrees of resistance to antimicrobial treatments [12]. For example, many bacteria may be easily destroyed by heat or pressure. In contrast, others, such as spore-forming bacteria like Clostridium botulinum, may need more rigorous methods to deactivate them because of their protective spore structures effectively. Comprehending the distinct attributes of target microorganisms is crucial for developing suitable management tactics customized to their susceptibility profiles [13].

The initial microbial load in food items or on surfaces that come into touch with food also has a substantial influence on the effectiveness of reducing microbes. Increased levels of microorganisms may need more stringent measures to effectively eliminate microbial activity [14]. Moreover, the existence of biofilms, intricate populations of microorganisms surrounded by a defensive structure, might create difficulties in effectively controlling microbes by enhancing their resistance to antimicrobial therapies. Dealing with biofilm contamination may require using specialist methods to achieve comprehensive microbial reduction and avoid further contamination throughout the procedure.

The structure and properties of the food matrix are crucial in determining the effectiveness of microbial reduction. They impact the transport of heat, the penetration of antimicrobial agents, and the interactions with microbial cells. Microorganisms' sensitivity to antimicrobial treatments may be influenced by factors such as moisture content, pH, lipid content, and the presence of organic matter or antimicrobial chemicals. For example, foods that include a significant amount of fat may have a slower transmission of heat, which means they will need to be processed for a longer period or at higher temperatures to effectively reduce the presence of microbes. Comprehending the intricate relationships between the content of food and the use of antimicrobial treatments is crucial for optimizing processing parameters and guaranteeing efficient control of microorganisms [15].

The success of microbial reduction is heavily influenced by processing factors such as temperature, pressure, exposure period, and strength of antimicrobial treatments. It is crucial to choose the right processing parameters that are tailored to the individual needs of the food product and the microorganisms being targeted to achieve the necessary degree of microbial control. The heating rate, cooling rate, and the application of pressure gradients may also impact the effectiveness of reducing microbes by influencing the distribution of heat and the penetration of antimicrobials inside the food matrix. Validating and monitoring processing parameters is crucial for guaranteeing consistent and efficient microbiological control in food processing operations [16].

The effectiveness of microbial reduction may be influenced by environmental factors, such as humidity, ventilation, and temperature gradients, inside processing equipment or facilities. These parameters affect the transmission and dispersion of heat. Ensuring consistent heating or treatment of food items and preventing the reintroduction of microbes during processing is highly dependent on effectively controlling environmental conditions. Furthermore, the effectiveness of microbial

reduction is heavily influenced by the design, construction, and maintenance of processing equipment. These variables impact heat distribution, pressure uniformity, and the administration of antimicrobial compounds. Consistent and effective microbial control in food processing processes requires regular calibration, validation, and maintenance of equipment [17].

B. Microbial responses to different non-thermal treatments

The microbial reactions to various non-thermal treatments fluctuate based on the particular technique used, as well as aspects such as the kind of microbe, food composition, and processing conditions. Non-thermal therapies, such as high-pressure processing (HPP), pulsed electric fields (PEF), ultraviolet (UV) irradiation, plasma therapy, and ohmic heating, have specific effects on microbial cells, resulting in either the killing or suppression of microbial activity [18]. Gaining a comprehensive understanding of these microbial reactions is crucial for maximizing the efficiency of non-thermal treatments and guaranteeing the successful management of microorganisms in food processing. High-pressure processing (HPP) involves exposing microorganisms to increased hydrostatic pressure levels, often ranging from hundreds to thousands of atmospheres. High pressure disrupts the structures of microbial cells, such as cell walls and membranes, resulting in damage and inactivation of the cells. Although some microbes may exhibit greater resistance to stress caused by pressure, High-Pressure Processing (HPP) is often very effective against a broad spectrum of bacteria, yeasts, molds, and viruses. In addition, the non-thermal nature of high-pressure processing (HPP) reduces the effects on food quality features, such as sensory qualities and nutritional content [19].

Pulsed electric fields (PEF) administer brief surges of high-voltage electrical energy to food items, inducing both reversible and irreversible electroporation of microbial cell membranes. The electrical pulses induce transient holes in the cell membrane, which interrupt cellular processes and result in microbial inactivation. PEF demonstrates efficacy against bacteria, yeasts, and molds, with the extent of microbial decrease being contingent upon variables such as pulse length, electric field intensity, and treatment time [20]. In addition, PEF treatments are typically non-thermal and may be used on heat-sensitive foods without affecting their quality. Ultraviolet (UV) irradiation involves exposing food surfaces to UV light, specifically in the UV-C range (200-280 nm). This light causes damage to the DNA and RNA of microbial cells, stopping them from replicating and ultimately rendering them inactive. UV irradiation is a potent method for eliminating a wide range of microorganisms, such as bacteria, viruses, yeasts, and molds. The effectiveness of UV irradiation relies on variables such as UV dosage, duration of exposure, and the susceptibility of microorganisms to UV light. Moreover, UV irradiation is mostly used as a treatment on the surface and may need to be applied many times or with the assistance of reflecting surfaces to guarantee sufficient elimination of microorganisms in intricate food compositions [21].

Plasma therapy is the process of creating plasma, which is a state of matter made up of ionized gases that include reactive species such as ions, electrons, radicals, and excited molecules. Plasma therapy produces reactive substances that enter microbial cells and damage cell membranes, DNA, and proteins, eventually resulting in the deactivation of microbes. Plasma treatment is very efficient in combating a broad spectrum of bacteria and may be used on both food surfaces and packaging materials [22]. The effectiveness of plasma therapy may vary based on variables such as the composition of the plasma, the duration of treatment, and the distance from the source of plasma.

Ohmic heating is a process that produces heat inside the food by using electrical resistance. This results in fast and even heating without the possibility of temperature differences or concentrated areas of high heat. Ohmic heating typically serves as a thermal therapy, but it may also impact microbial cells by subjecting them to thermal stress and causing protein denaturation. Ohmic heating demonstrates efficacy in combating bacteria, yeasts, and molds, with the decrease of microbial populations being controlled by variables such as heating temperature, treatment duration, and food composition. In addition, ohmic heating provides benefits such as decreased processing times and lower energy usage in comparison to conventional thermal techniques. Non-thermal treatments provide novel methods for controlling microbes in food processing, with each technique having unique impacts on microbial cells. Food makers may enhance processing settings and guarantee efficient microbial control while preserving food quality and safety by comprehending the microbial reactions to various non-thermal treatments [23].

III. Challenges and Limitations

Non-thermal food processing methods encounter several hurdles and restrictions that impede their general acceptance and optimization, despite their significant promise. The problems stem from a range of reasons, such as intricate technology, regulatory concerns, financial ramifications, and the need for more research and development. To achieve optimal efficacy and usability of non-thermal treatments in the food business, it is crucial to tackle these obstacles. A major obstacle arises from the intricacy of non-thermal treatment methods themselves [23]. Specialized equipment, experience, and infrastructure are necessary for the implementation of high-pressure processing (HPP), pulsed electric fields (PEF), plasma treatment, ultraviolet (UV) irradiation, and ohmic heating systems. Smaller-scale food businesses may have worries about the cost-effectiveness and return on investment due to the significant initial expenditure required for equipment and training [24].

Regulatory factors also provide a substantial obstacle to the broad implementation of non-thermal therapies. Regulatory bodies acknowledge the promise of these technologies in improving food safety and quality, but there is a dearth of established norms and procedures for their use. It is crucial to create regulatory frameworks that specifically deal with safety, effectiveness, and labeling standards for non-thermal therapies. This is necessary to guarantee consumer trust and make it easier for these treatments to be accepted in the market. The cost implications of non-thermal therapies provide an additional hurdle for their adoption [25]. In addition to the initial capital expenditure on equipment and infrastructure, firms may face financial difficulties due to continuous operating costs, maintenance charges, and energy use, especially in highly competitive market conditions. To achieve cost-effective adoption of non-thermal treatments, it is necessary to develop advances in equipment design, process optimization, and supply chain management [26].

In addition, non-thermal treatments may have limits in terms of their effectiveness against certain microbes or food compositions. Although these technologies are typically efficient in combating a wide range of microbes, some microbial species or strains may demonstrate resistance or need greater treatment intensities to achieve total inactivation.

 EISSN: 2961-872X ● PISSN: 2961-8924 ● DOI: 10.56741/esl.v3i03.643

Moreover, intricate meal compositions including significant amounts of fat, sugar, or protein may provide difficulties in achieving consistent treatment and microbial control. This calls for additional investigation and optimization [27]. To tackle these difficulties, a comprehensive strategy is needed that involves cooperation among industry players, regulatory bodies, academic institutions, and technology suppliers. Investing in research and development, innovating technology, developing infrastructure, and implementing education and training programs are effective strategies to overcome obstacles and promote the broad use of non-thermal treatments in the food business. To fully harness the advantages of non-thermal treatments in improving food safety, quality, and sustainability, it is necessary to tackle existing obstacles and constraints [28].

IV. Future Perspectives and Research Directions

The potential of non-thermal treatments in food processing is very promising, fueled by continuous technical improvements, changing customer tastes, and the need for sustainable food production techniques. In the future, there are numerous important viewpoints and areas of study that will influence the use of non-thermal treatments in the food business. Non-thermal treatment methods, including high-pressure processing (HPP), pulsed electric fields (PEF), plasma treatment, ultraviolet (UV) irradiation, and ohmic heating, are continuously evolving. These advancements are anticipated to enhance the range of applications and efficacy of these technologies in the area of food processing. Improvements in equipment design, process optimization, and control systems will increase efficiency, reliability, and scalability, hence making non-thermal treatments more easily attainable and cost-efficient for food producers of any scale [29].

The use of numerous non-thermal treatment methods in sequential or combination procedures results in synergistic effects and improved effectiveness in controlling microbial growth. Investigating the simultaneous use of technologies like HPP-PEF, HPP-UV, or plasma-PEF has the potential to provide novel possibilities for enhancing food safety, and quality, and extending shelf-life. To maximize advantages and minimize negatives, it is crucial to comprehend the interactions among various therapies and optimize process parameters. Future research efforts will prioritize the development of customized non-thermal treatment approaches for particular food items, microbiological contaminants, and processing difficulties. Tailored treatment techniques that consider the specific composition of food, the amount of microorganisms present, and the intended results will enhance effectiveness, reduce processing durations, and maintain the sensory characteristics and nutritional value. The development of advanced predictive modeling and simulation tools will make it easier to create and optimize customized treatments for various food matrices and processing circumstances.

The investigation of novel non-thermal treatment technologies and methods, such as cold plasma technology, enzymatic treatments, and nanotechnology-based antimicrobial agents, shows potential for surpassing existing constraints and tackling increasing food safety issues. Investigation into unique antimicrobial chemicals, transport systems, and application procedures will broaden the range of non-thermal treatments and provide inventive options for controlling microorganisms in food processing. Consumer education and acceptability are essential factors in promoting the use of non-thermal treatments in the food business. Studying how consumers perceive, prefer, and feel about non-thermal processing methods may provide valuable insights for developing effective communication strategies and product labeling procedures. Providing clear and precise information on the safety, effectiveness, and advantages of non-thermal treatments would enhance customer trust and confidence in innovative food items.

Creating unambiguous regulatory frameworks and standards for non-thermal therapies is crucial to guaranteeing safety, effectiveness, and widespread acceptability in the market. Effective collaboration among regulatory authorities, industry stakeholders, and scientific communities is necessary to establish standardized norms, validation processes, and labeling requirements for non-thermal processed foods. Regularly monitoring, evaluating, and revising rules will ensure they keep up with technology improvements and new food safety issues. Non-thermal treatments have notable environmental benefits in comparison to conventional thermal processing techniques, such as decreased energy use, water usage, and greenhouse gas emissions. Subsequent studies will prioritize the measurement of the ecological impact of non-thermal treatments and the enhancement of procedures to reduce the consumption of resources and the production of trash. Life cycle evaluations and sustainability indicators will inform decision-making and encourage the adoption of environmentally conscious food production processes [30].

Ultimately, the future of non-thermal treatments in food processing is marked by the introduction of new ideas, tailoring to specific needs, and cooperation across different fields of science, industrial sectors, and regulatory organizations. Non-thermal treatments will remain crucial in guaranteeing the safety, quality, and sustainability of the world's food supply by tackling present difficulties, adopting new technologies, and adapting to changing consumer preferences and sustainability objectives.

V. Conclusion

To summarize, non-thermal food processing technologies provide a hopeful route to guaranteeing food safety, quality, and sustainability in the contemporary food sector. This literature review demonstrates that innovative methods such as high-pressure processing (HPP), pulsed electric fields (PEF), ultraviolet (UV) irradiation, plasma treatment, and ohmic heating are effective alternatives to traditional thermal methods for controlling microbes and preserving quality. Non-thermal treatments use various techniques such as pressure, electrical energy, light, or reactive species to effectively kill microorganisms and deactivate enzymes in food. These treatments maintain the sensory qualities and nutritional value of the treated food. Furthermore, their decreased processing durations, diminished energy use, and limited ecological footprint are in line with sustainability goals, rendering them more and more pertinent in the contemporary food sector. Non-thermal technologies have ramifications that go beyond only food production. They also provide substantial advantages for public health and environmental protection. These approaches help improve food safety, increase the amount of time food can be stored, and match customer demands for foods that are little processed. As a result, they help reduce the chances of becoming sick from contaminated food and improve public health in general. Moreover, their adherence to sustainability objectives, through decreased use of resources and minimized environmental effects, highlights their significance in constructing a more robust and sustainable food distribution network.

In the future, it is crucial to give priority to research and development endeavors focused on enhancing and refining non-thermal treatment methods. Effective collaboration among industry players, regulatory authorities, and research institutions is crucial to setting precise rules, standards, and regulatory frameworks that facilitate the broad implementation of new technologies. Furthermore, the promotion of non-thermal therapies relies heavily on consumer education and acceptance, underscoring the need for clear and open communication on their safety, effectiveness, and advantages. Through the allocation of resources towards research, infrastructure development, and workforce training, we can fully exploit the benefits of non-thermal treatments. This will lead to a future in the food sector that is characterized by enhanced safety, improved health outcomes, and more sustainability.

REFERENCES

[1] Oladiji, A. T., Oladele, J. O., & Ajayi, E. I. (Eds.). (2024). *Nutrition and Diet in Health: Principles and Applications*. CRC Press.

[2] Almeida, F. D. L., Cavalcante, R. S., Cullen, P. J., Frias, J. M., Bourke, P., Fernandes, F. A., & Rodrigues, S. (2015). Effects of atmospheric cold plasma and ozone on prebiotic orange juice. *Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies*, *32*, 127-135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2015.09.001

[3] Amaral, G. V., Silva, E. K., Cavalcanti, R. N., Cappato, L. P., Guimaraes, J. T., Alvarenga, V. O., ... & Cruz, A. G. (2017). Dairy processing using supercritical carbon dioxide technology: Theoretical fundamentals, quality and safety aspects. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, *64*, 94-101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2017.04.004

[4] Asaithambi, N., Singh, S. K., & Singha, P. (2021). Current status of non-thermal processing of probiotic foods: A review. *Journal of Food Engineering*, *303*, 110567. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2021.110567

[5] Ates, M. B., Skipnes, D., Rode, T. M., & Lekang, O. I. (2014). Comparison of bacterial inactivation with novel agitating retort and static retort after mild heat treatments. *Food Control*, *43*, 150-154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2014.03.006

[6] Wang, C.-Y., Huang, H.-W., Hsu, C.-P., & Yang, B. B. (2016). Recent Advances in Food Processing Using High Hydrostatic Pressure Technology. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, *56*(4), 527–540. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2012.745479

[7] Xi, J., Li, Z.-M., & Yang, F. (2020). Recent advances in the continuous extraction of bioactive ingredients from food-processing wastes by pulsed electric fields. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, *61*(10), 1738–1750. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2020.1765308

[8] Zhang, H. Q., Barbosa-Cánovas, G. V., Bala, M., C. Patrick Dunne, Farkas, D. F., & James. (2011). *Nonthermal Processing Technologies for Food*. John Wiley & Sons.

[9] Zhao, Y.-M., de Alba, M., Sun, D.-W., & Tiwari, B. (2018). Principles and recent applications of novel non-thermal processing technologies for the fish industry—a review. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, *59*(5), 728–742. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2018.1495613

[10] Zinoviadou, K. G., Galanakis, C. M., Brnčić, M., Grimi, N., Boussetta, N., Mota, M. J., Saraiva, J. A., Patras, A., Tiwari, B., & Barba, F. J. (2015). Fruit juice sonication: Implications on food safety and physicochemical and nutritional properties. *Food Research International*, *77*, 743–752. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2015.05.032

[11] Aghajanzadeh, S., & Ziaiifar, A. M. (2021). Pasteurization of juices with non-thermal technologies. *Sustainable Food Processing and Engineering Challenges*, 25-73. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-822714-5.00002-4

[12] Alexandre, E. M., Brandão, T. R., & Silva, C. L. (2012). Efficacy of non-thermal technologies and sanitizer solutions on microbial load reduction and quality retention of strawberries. *Journal of Food Engineering*, *108*(3), 417-426. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2011.09.002

[13] Barbosa-Canovas, G. V., Tapia, M. S., &Cano, M. P. (2004). *Novel food processing technologies*. CRC Press.

[14] Bhalerao, P., Dhar, R., & Chakraborty, S. (2021). Pulsed light technology applied in food processing. *Food Processing*, 91-121. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003163213-5

[15] Chakraborty, S., & Dhar, R. (2022). Non-thermal processes in food preservation. *Fundamentals of Non-Thermal Processes for Food Preservation*, 1-16. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003199809-1

[16] Corradini, M., & Peleg, M. (2010). Comparing the effectiveness of thermal and non-thermal food preservation processes: The concept of equivalent efficacy. *Case Studies in Novel Food Processing Technologies*, 464-488. https://doi.org/10.1533/9780857090713.4.464

[17] Dangal, A., Timsina, P., Dahal, S., Rai, K., &Giuffrè, A. M. (2023). Advances in non-thermal food processing methods -principle Advantages and limitations- for the establishment of minimal food quality as well as safety issues. *A review. Current Nutrition & Food Science*, 19. https://doi.org/10.2174/0115734013250808230921105514

[18] Luo, Z., Tang, Z., Guo, X., Jiang, J., & Sun, O. (2019). Non-monotonic and distinct temperature responses of soil microbial functional groups of different origins and in different soils. *Authorea Preprints*. https://doi.org/10.22541/au.157618059.96401146

[19] Prasad, P., Kar, S., & Sahu, J. K. (2021). Atmospheric pressure non-thermal plasma in food processing. In *Food Processing* (pp. 223-251). CRC Press. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003163213-10

[20] Pathania, S., & Tiwari, B. K. (2021). *Food formulation: Novel ingredients and processing techniques*. John Wiley & Sons.

[21] Richardson, P. (2001). *Thermal technologies in food processing*. Elsevier.

[22] Rouphael, Y., Lucini, L., Miras-Moreno, B., Colla, G., Bonini, P., & Cardarelli, M. (2020). Metabolomic responses of maize shoots and roots elicited by combinatorial seed treatments with microbial and non-microbial biostimulants. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 11. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.00664

[23] Dash, K. K., & Chakraborty, S. (Eds.). (2021). *Food Processing: Advances in Thermal and Non-Thermal Technologies, Two Volume Set*. CRC Press.

[24] Therdthai, N., & Jafari, S. M. (2023). Introduction to non-thermal food processes. In *Non-thermal Food Processing Operations* (pp. 1-14). Woodhead Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-818717-3.00012-3

[25] Zhang, H. Q., Barbosa-Cánovas, G. V., Balasubramaniam, V. B., Dunne, C. P., Farkas, D. F., & Yuan, J. T. (Eds.). (2011). *Nonthermal processing technologies for food*. John Wiley & Sons.

[26] Zulkurnain, M., Sulaiman, A., & Balasubramaniam, V. M. (2021). High-Pressure-Based Food-Processing Technologies for Food Safety and Quality. In *Food Processing* (pp. 1-26). CRC Press. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003163213-1

[27] Arya, S. S., Nachiappan, N., Waghmare, R., & Bhat, M. S. (2023). Recent progress and future perspectives on non-thermal Apple juice processing techniques. *Food Production, Processing, and Nutrition*, *5*(1), 36. https://doi.org/10.1186/s43014-023-00149-w

[28] Kalse, S. B., & Khobragade, C. B. (2021). Blanching Treatments in Food Processing. In *Food Processing* (pp. 77-90). CRC Press. https://doi.org/10.1201/9780429321481-4

[29] Tiza, T. M., Kpur, G., Ogunleye, E., Sharma, S., Singh, S. K., & Likassa, D. M. (2023). The potency of functionalized nanomaterials for industrial applications. *Materials Today: Proceedings*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2023.03.212

[30] Fu, X., Belwal, T., Cravotto, G., & Luo, Z. (2020). Sono-physical and sono-chemical effects of ultrasound: Primary applications in extraction and freezing operations and influence on food components. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry*, *60*, 104726. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2019.104726

 EISSN: 2961-872X ● PISSN: 2961-8924 ● DOI: 10.56741/esl.v3i03.643