Buletin Edukasi Indonesia (BEI)
DOI: 10.56741/bei.v4i02.1095

E-ISSN 2962-1674
P-ISSN 2962-5742



The Changing Role of University Faculty in the Era of Artificial Intelligence

A Systematic Literature Review

[1]Dwi Sulisworo*, [2]Gokhan Ofluoglu
Corresponding Author: * dwi.sulisworo@uad.ac.id
[1] Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
[2] Bulent Ecevit University, Zonguldak, Turkey

Article submitted: 11 June 2025
Revised: 6 July 2025
Accepted: 11 July 2025

Abstract

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in higher education has profoundly influenced teaching and learning processes. This study investigates the evolving roles of university faculty in the AI era by synthesizing findings from peer-reviewed literature, policy reports, and institutional research. A systematic literature review was conducted based on 32 key sources published between 2018 and 2024. The results reveal three significant transformations: (1) pedagogical innovations with AI-assisted tools, (2) the shift in administrative responsibilities and decision-making supported by AI analytics, and (3) emerging ethical roles and the need for AI literacy among faculty. The study concludes that AI enhances efficiency and demands a reconfiguration of professional identity, calling for continuous professional development, ethical frameworks, and institutional support.

Keywords: *AI Literacy, Artificial Intelligence, Ethics, Faculty Roles, Higher Education, Pedagogy.*

Introduction

The proliferation of AI technologies is transforming various sectors at an unprecedented pace, with education being one of the most significantly impacted domains. AI's growing presence in higher education is no longer confined to theoretical discussions or pilot initiatives. It is now actively embedded in everyday academic operations and pedagogical frameworks. In particular, universities and colleges are increasingly leveraging AI for a broad array of applications, including adaptive learning systems, intelligent tutoring systems, predictive analytics for student retention and success, and AI-powered administrative decision-making tools [1], [2]. These AI-driven innovations are fundamentally reshaping the tools and methods available for teaching and learning and the very structures that underpin institutional governance and academic management. Faculty members are now interacting with algorithms and platforms that automatically monitor student engagement, grade assignments, and even suggest pedagogical interventions based on behavioral patterns and performance metrics.

The digital transformation driven by AI is reshaping logistical and operational processes and the fundamental nature of professional roles and institutional culture across academia and business. In higher education, AI is altering academic labor, transforming the dynamics between instructors and students, and redefining the expectations placed upon faculty. Traditional responsibilities such as

content delivery, assessment, advising, and curriculum development are increasingly being supported or partially replaced by AI systems, demanding that faculty develop new competencies and confront emerging ethical challenges [3], [4]. Despite a growing body of research on the student-centered impacts of AI, particularly around personalization, motivation, and learning outcomes, its influence on the evolving roles of faculty remains underexplored.

Similarly, in the business world, AI is catalyzing a transformation in organizational culture. Organizational culture, often understood as the set of shared beliefs and value judgments that guide managerial behavior and responses to change [5] [6], is being redefined by adopting AI technologies. Integrating AI in decision-making has led to more horizontal organizational structures, encouraging transparent communication, rapid decision-making, and greater employee autonomy. As digital systems become embedded in workplace practices, they reshape not only workflows but also the cultural fabric of organizations [5][7].

These shifts underscore a broader transformation: AI is not simply a tool for enhancing performance, altering power dynamics, and embedding itself into educational and corporate institutions' cultural and operational core. As a result, the teaching profession in higher education is experiencing a multifaceted transformation. Instructors are no longer just conveyors of knowledge. Still, they are expected to act as curators of learning experiences, interpreters of data, and ethical stewards in an environment where automation and algorithms significantly influence academic interactions. Furthermore, institutions are beginning to recalibrate their organizational frameworks to incorporate AI-mediated practices in teaching evaluations, workload management, and resource distribution.

This paper seeks to address a timely and critical research question: How are the roles of university faculty evolving in the context of AI integration in higher education? By synthesizing empirical and theoretical evidence from global literature, this study endeavors to categorize, describe, and critically analyze how AI reshapes faculty roles. The review also explores the broader implications of these shifts for teaching practices, institutional structures, and the ethical responsibilities faculty must now navigate in a data-driven educational landscape. Through a systematic analysis of recent publications and policy frameworks, this paper contributes to an emerging discourse that seeks to understand the technological aspects of AI and its social, pedagogical, and professional ramifications for those at the heart of the educational system.

Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative descriptive design through a systematic literature review (SLR) to explore faculty role transformation in AI-integrated education. The SLR was conducted per the PRISMA protocol to ensure transparency and rigor.

Table 1. Summary of Literature Search Strategy and Inclusion Criteria

Databases Searched	Scopus, Web of Science, ERIC, Google Scholar, and ScienceDirect.
Keywords	"AI in education," "faculty role and AI," "artificial intelligence and university teaching," "AI ethics in academia," "adaptive learning and instructors."
Inclusion Criteria	Published from 2018 to 2024,
	English language,
	Focus on higher education and faculty roles,
	Peer-reviewed and institutional reports.

From an initial pool of 174 articles, 62 were selected for full-text review, and 32 core articles were used in thematic synthesis. The analysis yielded three major themes: Pedagogical transformations, administrative role shifts, and Ethical and professional responsibilities.

Results and Discussion

A. Pedagogical Transformations

AI-driven learning environments, such as intelligent tutoring systems and Learning Analytics dashboards, are changing how faculty deliver content and engage students. Faculty are now expected to interpret data, design AI-compatible content, and oversee AI-mediated learning.

Table 2. Comparison of Teaching Practices Before and After AI Integration

Aspect	Before AI Integration	After AI Integration
Instruction Delivery	Lectures and static presentations [1][8]	AI-curated content, adaptive platforms [2][3]
Assessment	Manual grading, pen-and-paper tests [9][10]	Automated feedback, predictive performance tools [4][11][12]
Student Engagement	Face-to-face interactions [8][9]	Chatbots, learning analytics, virtual assistants [2][3][10]

AI significantly expands and redefines the traditional role of the instructor in higher education, transforming it from that of a mere dispenser of knowledge to a multifaceted role encompassing facilitation of learning, personalized support, and data interpretation [3][13]. In conventional pedagogical models, instructors primarily focused on delivering content through lectures and standard assessments [1][8]. However, with the integration of AI-powered educational technologies, particularly adaptive learning platforms, the nature of teaching is evolving into a more dynamic and responsive process. Tools like Knewton and Century Tech exemplify this transformation by providing real-time analytics and personalized learning pathways based on individual student performance and engagement patterns [2][9]. These platforms collect vast data on learners' progress, comprehension levels, and interaction habits. Consequently, faculty members are now expected to use these dashboards to monitor trends, detect learning gaps, and make timely interventions [11] [12]. This shift marks a departure from a reactive instructional model where support is typically offered after issues arise toward a proactive approach that anticipates challenges and responds to them in real time [10]. In this new paradigm, instructors must develop new competencies in navigating and interpreting AI-generated data and designing pedagogically sound interventions tailored to individual learner needs [4]. Thus, AI does not diminish the role of the educator; instead, it elevates and complexifies it, requiring a combination of technological fluency, analytical thinking, and pedagogical sensitivity to foster meaningful learning experiences.

Determining artificial intelligence's role today in deciding its future use capacity is essential. Intelligence is the ability to achieve very complex goals and is determined by a range of abilities that includes all goals. Every system aims to achieve a specific goal in working life. The artificial intelligence we encounter today means a narrow intelligence. In contrast, human intelligence is wide-ranging because it has a much wider range of skills. Currently, scientists are working to transform narrow-scope artificial intelligence into a broader-scope general artificial intelligence [14][15]. Artificial intelligence remains at a limited level today due to the inability to comprehensively analyze the complex structure revealed in studies in the field of human intelligence. Computer memory and analysis capacity development has led to new developments in artificial intelligence today. However, despite this, more time is needed for deep learning to gain strength in artificial intelligence. Undoubtedly, deep learning will be possible with the development of artificial neural networks (Craft, 2018, p.406). In the coming years, the deep learning process will be a significant development in terms of artificial intelligence in analyzing complex situations and realizing a potential power that will exceed the human brain's capacity [16].

B. Administrative Role Shift

AI tools also affect faculty responsibilities beyond the classroom. Predictive analytics, scheduling bots, and plagiarism detection systems support decision-making and task automation.

Table 3. Comparison of Traditional and AI-Supported Administrative Tasks in Higher Education

Task	Traditional Method	AI-Supported Process
Student Advising	Manual review of records [9] [17]	AI-based predictive student success modeling [2][18] [19]
Scheduling	Department staff and spreadsheets [20][21]	AI-driven scheduling systems [18][22][23]
Curriculum Development	Faculty meetings and documentation [9][21]	AI-assisted competency mapping [2] [19] [22]

AI offers the potential to significantly enhance efficiency and scalability within higher education by automating routine tasks and providing data-driven insights [2] [17]. However, this technological advancement also brings new demands on faculty, who must develop a sophisticated set of competencies to effectively interpret and critically evaluate algorithmic recommendations generated by AI systems [19][20]. These algorithmic outputs often inform instructional strategies, student assessments, and resource allocation, requiring educators to move beyond passive data recipients to active interpreters and decision-makers [22]. For example, predictive analytics used to identify at-risk students requires faculty to understand the indicators and the limitations and potential biases within the datasets that underpin these recommendations [21]. Moreover, as administrative processes become increasingly reliant on AI-driven analytics, ranging from enrollment management to faculty workload distribution, there is a growing need for faculty to collaborate closely with information technology (IT) professionals, data scientists, and institutional researchers. This interdisciplinary collaboration challenges and reshapes traditional academic hierarchies and governance structures by fostering more integrated and participatory approaches to decision-making within universities [9][18]. Faculty members are no longer isolated actors focused solely on teaching and research but are becoming key

stakeholders in cross-functional teams that leverage AI insights to optimize institutional performance and student outcomes [23]. This shift necessitates new communication skills, data literacy, and a willingness to engage with complex technological infrastructures, ultimately redefining the role of faculty within the modern academic ecosystem.

C. Ethical and Professional Responsibilities

AI raises significant ethical questions about data privacy, bias, and transparency. Faculty must develop AI literacy to ensure responsible use of technology.

Table 4. Ethical Issues in AI Usage and Recommended Faculty Actions

Ethical Concern	Implications for Faculty	Recommended Action
Data Privacy	Responsible handling of student data [12][24]	Training in digital ethics and compliance [10][21]
Algorithmic Bias	Unequal treatment of students [13][19]	Participation in AI tool evaluation [12] [24]
Transparency & Fairness	Opaque grading or feedback systems [10][21]	Open communication with students on AI use [13][19]

As custodians of academic integrity and champions of ethical scholarship, faculty members are increasingly expected to engage critically and thoughtfully with AI tools that permeate the educational landscape [12][24]. Their role extends beyond mere users of these technologies to becoming active advocates for AI's responsible and fair deployment, ensuring that its application upholds principles of transparency, accountability, and equity [19]. This ethical stewardship involves scrutinizing how AI systems impact key areas such as student privacy, bias in algorithmic decision-making, and digital accessibility [21]. For instance, as AI becomes more embedded in assessment systems, there is a risk of perpetuating structural biases if algorithms are trained on skewed datasets. This concern requires ongoing faculty oversight and ethical review [13]. Additionally, faculty must grapple with the potential of AI to unintentionally reinforce disparities among students by privileging those who are more digitally literate or have greater access to technology [10]. Recognizing these multifaceted challenges, leading international organizations, including UNESCO and EDUCAUSE, have highlighted the critical importance of embedding ethical considerations into the professional development of educators. These institutions advocate for comprehensive training programs that equip faculty with technical fluency and robust ethical frameworks for using AI responsibly in educational contexts. Such programs aim to cultivate a culture of critical awareness and reflexivity, where faculty can navigate the complexities of AI integration while promoting equitable learning opportunities and safeguarding academic standards. Ultimately, this form of ethical engagement is indispensable for ensuring that AI functions as a catalyst for inclusive innovation rather than as a mechanism of unintended harm within the evolving landscape of higher education.

E-ISSN 2962-1674
P-ISSN 2962-5742

Conclusion

AI is not merely a technological tool but a profound catalyst for transformation within higher education. This study identifies three significant shifts in faculty roles due to AI integration. First, there is a move toward pedagogical innovation, wherein faculty are no longer seen solely as content deliverers but increasingly as facilitators of learning and interpreters of student-generated data. Second, administrative adaptation has emerged, with a growing reliance on AI systems to inform and streamline institutional decision-making processes. Third, faculty are being called to serve as ethical stewards, necessitating a strong foundation in AI literacy and active engagement with the moral dimensions of algorithmic influence in education. Rather than rendering instructors obsolete, AI presents an opportunity and a challenge for educators to evolve in their professional practice. For institutions, this evolution demands a strategic commitment to faculty development through targeted training programs, policy revisions that reflect the realities of AI integration, and the establishment of collaborative structures involving educators, technologists, and ethicists. Future research should prioritize in-depth case studies drawn from diverse educational settings and longitudinal studies that examine faculty experiences and adaptations over time in response to the continuing advancement of AI in academia.

References

[1] O. Zawacki-Richter, J. Y. Bai, K. Lee, P. J. Slagter van Tryon, and P. Prinsloo, "New advances in artificial intelligence applications in higher education?," *Int. J. Educ. Technol. High. Educ.*, vol. 21, no. 1, p. 32, 2024.
[2] A. Adair, "Teaching and learning with AI: How artificial intelligence is transforming the future of education," *XRDS: Crossroads, The ACM Magazine for Students*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 7–9, 2023.
[3] M. Yue, M. S. Y. Jong, and D. T. K. Ng, "Understanding K–12 teachers' technological pedagogical content knowledge readiness and attitudes toward artificial intelligence education," *Educ. Inf. Technol.*, pp. 1–32, 2024.
[4] R. Luckin and W. Holmes, *Intelligence Unleashed: An Argument for AI in Education*. Pearson, 2016.
[5] H. V. Erkutlu, *Örgütsel Davranış*. İstanbul, Turkey: Akademisyen Kitabevi, 2018.
[6] C. B. Nalbantoğlu, "Dijital dönüşümün örgüt kültürü üzerine yansımaları," *KMÜ Sos. ve Ekon. Araşt. Derg.*, vol. 23, no. 40, pp. 193–207, 2021.
[7] E. Hartl and T. Hess, "The role of cultural values for digital transformation: Insights from a Delphi study," in *Proc. 23rd Americas Conf. Inf. Syst. (AMCIS)*, Boston, 2017, p. 15.
[8] L. Deslauriers, L. S. McCarty, K. Miller, K. Callaghan, and G. Kestin, "Measuring actual learning versus feeling of learning in response to being actively engaged in the classroom," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, vol. 116, no. 39, pp. 19251–19257, 2019.
[9] L. V. Hadley, G. Naylor, and A. F. D. C. Hamilton, "A review of theories and methods in the science of face-to-face social interaction," *Nat. Rev. Psychol.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 42–54, 2022.
[10] B. Guimarães et al., "Performance equivalency between computer-based and traditional pen-and-paper assessment: A case study in clinical anatomy," *Anat. Sci. Educ.*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 124–136, 2018.
[11] J. Nouri, M. Saqr, and U. Fors, "Predicting performance of students in a flipped classroom using machine learning: Towards automated data-driven formative feedback," in *Proc. 10th Int. Conf. Educ., Train. Inform. (ICETI)*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 17–21, Mar. 2019.
[12] X. Xi, "Automated scoring and feedback systems: Where are we and where are we heading?," *Lang. Test.*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 291–300, 2010.
[13] N. Iqbal, H. Ahmed, and K. A. Azhar, "Exploring teachers' attitudes towards using ChatGPT," *Glob. J. Manag. Admin. Sci.*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 97–111, 2022.

E-ISSN 2962-1674
P-ISSN 2962-5742

[14] M. Tegmark, *Yaşam 3.0: Yapay Zeka Çağında İnsan Olmak*, E. C. Göksoy, Trans. İstanbul, Turkey: Pegasus Yayınevi, 2021.
[15] İ. E. Günay, "Yapay zekanın gelişimi ve sistemin insandan bağımsızlaşmasındaki rolü," *Hacettepe Univ. Edebiyat Fakültesi Dergisi*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 742–756, 2024.
[16] N. Bostrom, *Süper Zekâ: Yapay Zekâ Uygulamaları, Tehlikeler ve Stratejiler*, F. B. Aydar, Trans. İstanbul, Turkey: Koç Üniversitesi Yayınları, 2020.
[17] J. Garcia, "How do academic advisors intentionally design their office space to be more inviting? A qualitative study on office décor and self-disclosure," M.S. thesis, Rochester Inst. Technol., 2022.
[18] S. S. Johnson, "The future of work," *Am. J. Health Promot.*, vol. 34, no. 7, pp. 809–812, 2020.
[19] M. Shoaib et al., "AI student success predictor: Enhancing personalized learning in campus management systems," *Comput. Human Behav.*, vol. 158, p. 108301, 2024.
[20] J. Pisapia and A. L. Westfall, "Alternative high school scheduling: A view from the teacher's desk," Res. Rep., 1997.
[21] T. L. Reller, "Exploring differences in teacher attitudes and instructional strategies between traditional and block schedule high schools: A comparison of two small schools," Ed.D. dissertation, Lindenwood Univ., 2010.
[22] M. Khakifirooz, M. Fathi, and A. Dolgui, "Theory of AI-driven scheduling (TAIS): A service-oriented scheduling framework by integrating theory of constraints and AI," *Int. J. Prod. Res.*, pp. 1–35, 2024.
[23] G. Taye, S. Sharma, P. Shah, and Y. G. Nuriye, "Exploring the role of artificial intelligence in class scheduling and management: A comprehensive survey and review," in *Proc. 2023 Int. Conf. Comput. Sci. Emerg. Technol. (CSET)*, Oct. 2023, pp. 1–11.
[24] S. Grek, C. Maroy, and A. Verger, "Introduction: Accountability and datafication in education: Historical, transnational and conceptual perspectives," in *World Yearbook of Education 2021*, Routledge, pp. 1–22, 2020.

Authors



Prof. Dr. Dwi Sulisworo is a Professor of Learning Technology at Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Yogyakarta. He has an extensive record of publications in journals and conference proceedings nationally and internationally. Sulisworo's research interests focus on the application of technology in education and its impact on developing students' competencies. He is committed to advancing innovative approaches to integrating technology in learning environments. (email: dwi.sulisworo@uad.ac.id).



Prof. Dr. Gokhan Ofluoglu is a lecturer and researcher at Bulent Ecevit University, Zonguldak, Turkey, specializing in Labor Economics and Industrial Relations. He holds a verified academic email address at beun.edu.tr. His scholarly work analyzes labor markets, employment policies, workplace relations, and the socio-economic factors affecting the workforce. He has participated in various academic conferences and published research papers in national and international journals. (email: gofluoglu@yahoo.com).